

1 **The effects of prolonged wear of textured shoe insoles on gait, foot sensation**
2 **and proprioception in people with Multiple Sclerosis: protocol for a**
3 **randomised controlled trial**

4

5 Anna L Hatton^{1*}, John Dixon², Keith Rome³, Sandra G Brauer¹, Katrina Williams¹,
6 Graham Kerr⁴

7

8 ¹School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

9 ²Health and Social Care Institute, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, United Kingdom

10 ³Health and Rehabilitation Research Institute & School of Podiatry, AUT, Auckland, New Zealand

11 ⁴Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, QUT, Brisbane, Australia

12

13

14 a.hatton1@uq.edu.au

15 john.dixon@tees.ac.uk

16 k.rome@aut.ac.nz

17 s.brauer@uq.edu.au

18 k.williams2@uq.edu.au

19 g.kerr@qut.edu.au

20

21

22 **Corresponding author: Dr Anna L Hatton*

23 *Address: School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, Therapies Building (84A),*

24 *The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia;*

25 *Tel: +61 7 3365 4590; Fax: +61 7 3365 1622;*

26 *Email: a.hatton1@uq.edu.au*

27 **Abstract**

28

29 **Background:** Many people with Multiple Sclerosis experience problems with
30 walking, which can make daily activities difficult and often leads to falls. Foot
31 sensation plays an important role in keeping the body balanced whilst walking
32 however, people with Multiple Sclerosis often have poor sensation on the soles of
33 their feet. Wearing a specially designed shoe insole, which enhances plantar
34 sensory information, could help people with Multiple Sclerosis to walk better. This
35 study will explore whether long-term wear of a textured insole can improve walking in
36 people with Multiple Sclerosis.

37 **Methods:** A prospective randomised controlled trial with two parallel groups will be
38 conducted aiming to recruit 176 people with Multiple Sclerosis living in the
39 community (Brisbane, Australia). Adults with a clinical diagnosis of Multiple
40 Sclerosis, Disease Steps score 1-4, who are ambulant over 100m and who meet
41 specific inclusion criteria will be recruited. Participants will be randomised to a
42 smooth control insole (N=88) or textured insole (N=88) group. The allocated insole
43 will be worn for 12-weeks within participants' own footwear, with self-report wear
44 diaries and falls calendars being completed over this period. Blinded assessors will
45 conduct two baseline assessments and one post-intervention assessment. Gait
46 tasks will be completed barefoot, wearing standardised footwear only, and wearing
47 standardised footwear with smooth and textured insoles. The primary outcome
48 measure will be mediolateral base of support when walking over even and uneven
49 surfaces. Secondary measures include: spatiotemporal gait parameters (stride
50 length, stride time variability, double-limb support time, velocity), gait kinematics (hip,
51 knee, ankle joint angles; toe clearance; trunk inclination; arm swing; mediolateral

52 pelvis/head displacement), foot sensation (light touch-pressure, vibration, two-point
53 discrimination) and proprioception (ankle joint position sense). Group allocation will
54 be concealed and all analyses based on an intention to treat principle.

55 **Discussion:** This study will explore the effects of wearing textured insoles over 12-
56 weeks on gait, foot sensation and proprioception in people with Multiple Sclerosis.
57 The study has the potential to identify a new, evidence-based footwear intervention
58 which has the capacity to enhance mobility and independent living in people with
59 Multiple Sclerosis.

60 **Trial registration:** Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry
61 ACTRN12615000421538. Registered 4 May 2015.

62

63 **Key words:** Gait; Shoe insoles; Foot sensation; Proprioception; Multiple Sclerosis;

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77 **Background**

78 Falls are a major threat to the health and well-being of people with Multiple Sclerosis
79 (pwMS)[1, 2]. Up to 50% of pwMS report falling within the past 6 months, and 50% of
80 these falls result in injuries [3]. Impaired mobility and balance are two major risk
81 factors for falls in people with pwMS [2]. In one study 85% of pwMS report gait
82 disturbances as their main complaint [4], and continued loss of mobility amongst
83 their greatest concerns for the future [5]. Impaired walking in pwMS is typically
84 characterised by an increased mediolateral (ML) base of support, reduced stride
85 length, step length and velocity, and prolonged double-limb support time during level
86 ground walking, relative to healthy individuals [6-8]. Incipient signs of deteriorating
87 walking ability can even be observed in the early stages of the disease [6-8].
88 Therefore, interventions that effectively preserve or enhance walking capacity are
89 paramount to improving quality of life and maintaining independence.

90

91 Current rehabilitation strategies to improve gait and balance in pwMS, predominantly
92 involve exercise participation to address deficient motor function, with some
93 consideration given to sensory training [9-13]. These multimodal approaches have
94 been shown to significantly improve several clinical and functional measures in
95 pwMS, including dynamic balance, rate of falls, physical activity levels, perceived
96 balance confidence, walking ability, and quality of life [9-13]. However, there is an
97 urgent need to develop additional methods to complement exercise, which target MS
98 sensory impairments [14-19] to a greater extent, in particular tactile sensation and
99 proprioception, in order to preserve and enhance mobility for as long as possible.

100 Previous evidence has shown that a strong relationship exists between foot
101 sensation and standing balance performance in pwMS [15]. Similarly, a loss of lower

102 limb proprioception, including joint position sense at the ankles and feet in pwMS can
103 detrimentally affect gait and standing balance, leading to greater dependence on
104 compensatory motor mechanisms in order to remain upright [17, 19]. An increasing
105 body of literature suggests footwear interventions may be another treatment option
106 to help improve gait performance in pwMS [20-22].

107

108 Textured shoe insoles, designed to enhance plantar sensory information, have been
109 shown to consistently alter gait patterns in the short-term, potentially improving
110 walking stability in a range of clinical populations including older fallers [23], adults
111 with Parkinson's disease [24] and pwMS [20, 21]. To date, exploratory studies
112 indicate that textured insoles can lead to beneficial alterations in spatiotemporal gait
113 parameters such as a reduced ML base of support [20], improved gait kinetics, and
114 kinematics [21] in pwMS. Significant increases in lower limb muscle activity during
115 both stance and swing phases of gait, changes in knee and hip excursion and
116 ground reaction forces, have been found immediately after pwMS wore textured
117 insoles, with these changes attributed to enhanced stimulation of plantar
118 mechanoreceptors [21]. Furthermore, after wearing textured insoles for two weeks,
119 significant increases have been also observed in stride and step length, and
120 significant decreases in the size of the ML base of support during level-ground
121 walking: interpreted to represent a more confident gait pattern. These changes were
122 observed independent of wearing the textured insoles, again supporting the theory
123 that a sensory training effect may have occurred during the intervention period [20].
124 However, recent evidence reports no significant changes either in spatiotemporal
125 gait measures during treadmill walking or plantar sensitivity after wearing textured
126 insoles over a longer, 4-week intervention period in pwMS [25]. It is possible that any

127 effects of textured insoles on gait may only be identified when walking in conditions
128 that emulate everyday life [25]. Further, whilst no changes were observed in plantar
129 sensitivity, alterations may have occurred in other measures of sensory function,
130 such as foot proprioception [25]. As such, the short-term effects of textured insoles
131 on mobility, and their proposed underlying mechanisms in pwMS, remain unclear. It
132 is possible that the benefits of textured insoles in pwMS may accrue, and additional
133 benefits may be observed, with prolonged wear over 4-weeks, but this has not yet
134 been explored. Previous work has shown limited effects of textured insoles on gait
135 and balance measures in pwMS immediately after wearing the insoles for the first
136 time, with subsequent improvements observed following 2-weeks wear [20].

137

138 This randomised controlled trial will determine whether wearing textured shoe
139 insoles for 12-weeks can improve gait when walking over even and uneven surfaces,
140 in pwMS. The primary aim of this study is to explore whether prolonged wear of
141 textured insoles alters ML base of support (as a measure of walking stability) from
142 baseline assessment 2 to the post-intervention assessment. Secondary aims are to
143 explore whether prolonged wear of textured insoles alters other spatiotemporal gait
144 parameters including stride length, stride time variability, double-limb support time,
145 and gait velocity; gait kinematics (specifically lower limb joint and trunk movement)
146 and; changes in the perception of foot sensation or proprioception, as underlying
147 mechanisms associated with improvements in spatiotemporal gait parameters.

148

149 **Methods**

150 *Design*

151 A prospective, parallel group, single blinded, randomised controlled trial with 176
152 pwMS living in the community will be conducted, conforming to the Consolidated
153 Standards of Reporting Trials guidelines [26] (Figure 1).

154

155 *Sample size*

156 Sample size has been calculated for the primary outcome measure, ML base of
157 support during even surface walking, based on our pilot data [20]. Our preliminary
158 study reported mean (SD) readings at baseline for base of support of 13.78 (5.11)
159 cm and a significant mean change of -1.66 cm ($P=0.02$) at 2-weeks post. With a
160 power of 80%, and alpha level of 0.05, a calculation for two related groups indicated
161 that $n=76$ were required in each group. In our pilot study we recruited 46 pwMS, with
162 no loss to follow-up across two visits (although completion of all test procedures was
163 limited by fatigue in some participants). As this randomised controlled trial involves a
164 longer intervention period, we will allow for a 15% attrition rate. An 85% retention
165 rate over a 16-week period (Baseline assessments at Week 0 and Week 4,
166 intervention 12-weeks, Post-intervention assessment at Week 16) is appropriate
167 based on previous MS intervention studies. Three randomised controlled trials with
168 12-week intervention periods conducted in pwMS, report retention rates of 82% [27],
169 88% [11], and 90% [28]. Therefore, 88 participants per group will be recruited, giving
170 a total of 176 participants.

171

172 *Location and setting*

173 All assessments will be conducted in the Gait Laboratory within the Institute of
174 Health and Biomedical Innovation at Queensland University of Technology,
175 Brisbane, Australia.

176

177 *Participants*

178 Men and women with a diagnosis of MS will be identified through a pool of sampling
179 frames including MS Queensland, local MS health care providers and community
180 organisations across the Brisbane, Gold Coast, and Logan regions, Australia.

181 Participants will be recruited through mainstream media advertisements and written
182 materials distributed to individuals listed on the MS Queensland database and those
183 attending local MS Clinics. Recruitment procedures will be centrally coordinated by
184 clinical staff working within each organisation to maintain patient confidentiality.

185 Participants will be invited to voluntarily contact the Principal Investigator for further
186 information. Participants will be eligible to take part if they meet the following criteria:
187 aged over 18 years; clinical diagnosis of MS; ambulant over 100 metres with or
188 without the use of an assistive device; and Disease Step rating of 1-4 [29].

189 Participants rated as Disease Step 1 (Mild disability: Mild symptoms and/or signs) to
190 4 (Late cane: Unable to walk 25 feet without a cane/unilateral support) will be eligible
191 to take part in this study, ensuring they have sufficient ambulatory capacity to
192 complete the gait trials. Exclusion criteria are: neurological conditions other than MS;
193 peripheral neuropathy; currently being prescribed over-the-counter or custom-made
194 foot orthoses; cardiovascular or orthopaedic conditions including recent injury to the
195 back or legs limiting ambulation; unstable psychiatric condition or cognitive
196 impairment (Short Form Mini-Mental State Examination [MMSE] score <24) [30].

197 Furthermore, enrolled participants who report an exacerbation of MS symptoms
198 persisting >24hrs, four weeks prior to, or at any time during, the intervention period
199 will also be excluded from the study. All participants will initially be screened via
200 telephone interview, and invited to attend a clinical examination, to confirm eligibility.

201 Written informed consent will be obtained from all participants. This study was
202 approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee at The University of
203 Queensland (#2014000781) and University Human Research Ethics Committee at
204 Queensland University of Technology (#1500000615).

205

206 *Randomisation and blinding*

207 The concealed randomisation schedule will be established using a computer
208 generated random number sequence, and maintained by an offsite investigator who
209 is neither involved with the enrolment nor assessment of participants. Consecutively
210 numbered, randomly ordered, opaque envelopes containing group allocation (in a
211 1:1 ratio), will be opened consecutively after baseline assessment 2, by a second
212 research assistant who is only responsible for administering the insoles. All
213 investigators and the first research assistant, who are involved in the enrolment or
214 assessment of participants over the duration of the trial, will remain blinded to group
215 allocation. Following baseline assessment 2, the Principal Investigator and first
216 research assistant will leave the gait laboratory to ensure blinding to the insole
217 condition. The second research assistant will then fit the participant with their
218 allocated insole, and provide advice regarding; frequency of wear, completion of
219 insole wear diaries, and emergency contact details for local podiatry care.
220 Participants will be instructed not to divulge their group allocation. As it is not
221 possible for participants to be blinded to their allocated group (those in the
222 intervention group will be able to perceive the textured material against the sole of
223 their foot), the full aims of the study will be concealed. Participants will not be told
224 that the intervention is designed to provide enhanced plantar sensory information
225 which could potentially lead to changes in gait. Such knowledge could influence how

226 participants walk and they could purposefully alter their walking patterns between-
227 conditions: debriefing will occur upon completion of the study. Furthermore, coding of
228 participants will not refer to group.

229

230 *Intervention*

231 In this randomised controlled trial we will investigate two different shoe insoles:
232 textured insoles and smooth (control) insoles. Both insoles have been implemented
233 in previous research strategies in pwMS [20], older fallers [23], and middle-aged
234 adults [31]. The textured insole (Evalite Pyramid ethyl vinyl acetate [EVA], 3mm
235 thickness, shore value A50, black, OG1549; Algeos PTY Ltd., Liverpool, UK) was
236 selected from a range of EVA soling materials, and has small, pyramidal peaks with
237 centre-to-centre distances of approximately 2.5mm. The smooth control insole
238 (Medium Density EVA, 3mm thickness, shore value A50, black, OG1304; Algeos
239 PTY Ltd., Liverpool, UK) was chosen from a range of plain EVA materials and has a
240 flat surface with no indentations. Insoles will be tailored to each participant's shoe
241 size. An experienced podiatrist will oversee and advise on the delivery of insoles,
242 and any podiatry-related issues including insole fit, durability, and dermatological or
243 peripheral changes at the foot during the intervention period. Participants will be
244 instructed to wear their allocated insoles, in their own shoes, as much as possible.
245 All assessments of balance and gait will be conducted with the participants wearing
246 standardised footwear (Donated by Pacific Brands Australia Pty Ltd), comprising a
247 basic construct rubber-soled shankless shoe with a soft canvas upper [32], into
248 which the insoles will be inserted. This standardisation will control for any possible
249 insole/shoe interactions across participants, which could impact the findings. To

250 allow for familiarisation to the footwear, participants will be instructed to walk for 5
251 minutes in the standardised shoes prior to testing.

252

253 *Primary outcome measures*

254 *Spatiotemporal gait variables:* The primary gait measure will be ML base of support,
255 when walking over an even and uneven surface. Our pilot study demonstrated that
256 after 2-weeks wear of the textured insoles, the significant mean reduction in base of
257 support was 1.7cm ($P=0.02$) compared to baseline measures [20]. The magnitude of
258 this effect is highly clinically relevant as previous research indicates a mean
259 difference of ~2cm in base of support exists between pwMS and healthy controls [6,
260 7]. This suggests that the textured effect is clinically significant, and may be of
261 sufficient magnitude to reduce base of support to a level similar to healthy adults.

262

263 *Secondary outcome measures*

264 *Spatiotemporal gait variables:* Additional measures of walking stability will include
265 stride length, stride time variability, double-limb support time, and gait velocity, when
266 walking over an even and uneven surface. Our pilot study reported that wearing
267 textured insoles for 2-weeks led to significant increases in mean stride length (Right
268 leg: 5.8cm [$P<0.01$]; Left leg: 4.4cm [$P<0.01$]), compared to baseline assessment
269 [20]. Details of specific methods underpinning all measures are provided in the
270 assessment section below.

271

272 *Gait kinematics:* During both even and uneven surface walking trials, lower limb gait
273 kinematics will be collected using a 3D motion capture system and will include hip,
274 knee, ankle joint angles (and their inter-relationships), and foot-to-floor angle to

275 determine maximum toe clearance. Segmental measures of trunk inclination, as well
276 as arm swing, mediolateral pelvis and head displacement will also be collected.
277 Specific details are presented below.

278

279 *Sensory measures:* Light touch-pressure sensation will be determined by recording
280 the smallest monofilament that the participant can perceive at five locations on the
281 foot as detailed below [15]. Vibration sense will be measured using a digital stop
282 watch, started when the tuning fork touches the participant's skin at two sites on the
283 feet, then stopped when the participant indicates the vibration can no longer be felt.
284 The average of three trials will be recorded for both feet (seconds) [15]. For two-point
285 discrimination, when the participant perceives two stimuli as one, the distance will be
286 recorded in mm [15]. Ankle joint position sense will be determined by the participant
287 performing the ankle joint position sense test [33].

288

289 *Insole wear and falls:* Participants will be followed for 12-weeks with insole wear self-
290 reported diaries and falls calendars to determine: i) number of hours insoles are
291 worn and ii) frequency, time, location of any falls and injuries. In this study, a fall will
292 be defined as an unexpected event in which the participant comes to rest on the
293 ground, floor or lower level [34].

294

295 *Clinical screening examination*

296 Prior to enrolment, all individuals will undergo a clinical screening examination,
297 conducted by a Specialist Neurological Physiotherapist (KW), which will include the
298 assessment of disease stage, and symptoms including spasticity and ataxia. Stage
299 of disease will be determined using Disease Steps [29]. This tool is an assessment

300 of disability in patients with MS, which has low inter-rater variability, correlates
301 strongly to the Expanded Disability Severity Scale at initial assessment (EDSS), and
302 can be used to monitor disease progression [35]. Spasticity will be assessed using
303 the Tardieu Scale [36], and ataxia scored using the Brief Ataxia Rating Scale [37].

304

305 *Baseline assessments*

306 Demographics including gender, age, height, and body mass will be collected. To
307 characterise the study sample, participants will be asked to complete questionnaires
308 that address relevant medical history and medications, length of time since diagnosis
309 of MS, current MS symptoms using the MS Impact Scale (MSIS-29) [38], and
310 perceived walking ability using the MS Walking Scale (MSWS-12) [39]. Quality of life,
311 the impact of fatigue and pain, and perceived disability will be assessed using four
312 self-report questionnaires: MS Quality of Life Instrument (MS QoL-54) [40]; Modified
313 Fatigue Impact Scale (a questionnaire which measures how MS-related fatigue
314 affects everyday life including physical, cognitive and psychosocial functioning [41]);
315 Medical Outcomes Study (MOS) Pain Effects Scale (a MS-specific questionnaire
316 which assesses how pain and disturbing sensations, such as burning or tingling,
317 affect everyday life [42]); and the Perceived Deficits Questionnaire (a MS-specific
318 questionnaire which assesses several domains of cognitive function that are
319 commonly affected by MS: attention; retrospective memory, prospective memory,
320 planning and organization [43]). Number of self-reported falls experienced in the
321 previous 12 months will be recorded, and current fear of falling assessed using the
322 Falls Efficacy Scale-International [44].

323

324 Following the clinical screening examination, all participants will complete initial
325 assessments of gait, foot sensation and proprioception (Baseline assessment 1).
326 Standing balance and activity levels will also be measured at baseline assessment 1
327 only. Each participant will receive a wireless activity monitor (activPAL, Glasgow,
328 Scotland), to be worn every day for seven consecutive days; allowing us to
329 characterise the activity of the study group, monitor habitual weekly activity levels
330 and establish any relationships with gait performance at baseline. The increasing
331 use of accelerometry in pwMS [45, 46] is accredited to its ability to allow monitoring
332 of changes in walking impairments with disease progression (e.g. worsening of MS)
333 or disease activity (e.g. acute relapse), over long periods of time [47]. Four weeks
334 after baseline assessment 1, a second baseline assessment (Baseline assessment
335 2) will be conducted. The purpose of this 4-week waiting period is to establish each
336 participant's natural rate of MS disease progression, specifically the magnitude of
337 change in the primary and secondary outcomes measures of gait, foot sensation and
338 proprioception, prior to delivery of the intervention.

339

340 *Gait*

341 Gait performance will be evaluated by completing a 12m walk over an even surface
342 and an uneven surface. The even surface will consist of a level, vinyl material: the
343 top cover of an instrumented walkway (GAITRite®, CIR Systems, Inc., Havertown,
344 PA 19083, USA). The GAITRite® system is an electronic walkway, approximately
345 8.2m long (the active area being 0.61m wide and 7.32m long), which has been
346 shown to have high reliability [48, 49]. The uneven surface (placed directly on the
347 laboratory floor, adjacent to the GAITRite® walkway) will consist of two layers of
348 thick soft foam, over which small blocks of wood of uneven shapes and sizes will be

349 spread in a random manner; with a top layer of artificial grass covering the walkway,
350 using previously described methods [50]. Maintenance of stability when walking
351 requires individuals to control their centre of mass within a constantly changing base
352 of support: this becomes even more challenging when the surface is uneven,
353 increasing the risk of loss of balance, resulting in a fall. Deficits in balance control
354 during walking, or conversely the therapeutic benefit of interventions (such as shoe
355 insoles) on walking performance may only become apparent when the balance
356 challenge is sufficiently demanding. The uneven walking surface will emulate a
357 situation encountered in daily life. A start and finish line will be marked on the floor
358 2m in front and 2m behind both the even and uneven surface walkways, allowing
359 participants to accelerate and decelerate outside the walkways [48]. Participants will
360 be positioned at the start line and instructed to walk at their comfortable, self-
361 selected walking pace. Five walking trials will be completed on the even surface and
362 5 trials on the uneven surface, each whilst barefoot, wearing standardised footwear
363 only, and wearing two different shoe insoles (textured and smooth) within
364 standardised footwear. The test sequence (footwear condition, surface) will be
365 randomised. Spatiotemporal gait variables will be measured using the GAITRite®
366 system (sampling rate 80Hz) when walking over the even surface, and using an 11-
367 camera Vicon® motion capture system (Vicon, 6 x MX13 and 5 x T40 cameras,
368 giganet control box, with a MX Net and Mx Link), sampled at 200Hz, when walking
369 over the uneven surface. Participants will have multiple reflective markers attached
370 to their body, following the Vicon PlugIn Gait full body model. The Vicon system
371 records the position of reflective markers placed at standardised anatomical sites on
372 the upper and lower body and will be used to measure spatiotemporal gait variables
373 and gait kinematics.

374

375 *Balance*

376 Standing balance will be assessed to provide a measure of basic, unperturbed
377 postural stability. Participants will stand on an AMTI force platform (sampling rate
378 1000Hz), using a standardised foot position (heels placed $1/10^{\text{th}}$ participants height
379 apart and angled to 14° [51]), and arms hanging by their sides, for 30 seconds [52].
380 Double-limb standing tests will be performed on a firm and foam surface, with their
381 eyes open and eyes closed. To prevent vestibular disruption when standing with
382 eyes open, participants will be instructed to look straight ahead and focus on the
383 middle of a black circular visual target (10cm diameter), mounted onto a board
384 positioned 3 metres from the centre of the force platform, and adjusted to the eye
385 level of each participant. Standing balance will be assessed whilst barefoot, wearing
386 standardised footwear only, and when wearing two different shoe insoles (textured
387 and smooth) within standardised footwear. The test sequence (footwear condition,
388 surface, vision) will be randomly presented. Measures of baseline standing balance
389 will include centre of pressure (CoP) path velocity, range and standard deviation of
390 CoP movement in the anterior-posterior and mediolateral directions.

391

392 *Foot sensation and proprioception*

393 Somatosensory function, including light touch-pressure sensation, vibration sense,
394 and two-point discrimination will be assessed. Semmes-Weinstein monofilaments
395 (smallest [1.65] to largest [6.65]) will be used to determine light touch-pressure
396 sensation at five locations on the foot: plantar surface of the great toe; first
397 metatarsal head; fifth metatarsal head; heel; and dorsum of the foot between the first
398 and second toes [53]. The monofilaments will be applied perpendicular to the skin for

399 1.5 seconds, and the participant will be required to indicate whether the fibre can be
400 felt. The smallest monofilaments (1.65-4.08) will be applied three times
401 consecutively, whilst larger ones (4.17-6.65) will be applied only once [15]. Duration
402 of vibration sense will be measured using a 128-Hz frequency tuning fork at the first
403 metatarsal head and medial malleoli of both feet [15]. The ability to distinguish
404 between two light-touch stimuli (two-point discrimination) will be measured using an
405 aesthesiometer applied to the skin at three foot regions: tip of the great toe; first to
406 second metatarsal interspace, fifth metatarsal head. Each region will be touched with
407 either one point or two points simultaneously in a random order, with approximately 2
408 seconds between each application of the stimuli. Assessment will begin with the two
409 stimuli at the maximum distance apart, and decrease until the participant can no
410 longer differentiate the two points [15]. Foot position awareness will be assessed
411 bilaterally using the ankle joint angle reproduction test [33]. The investigator will
412 passively set the participant's ankle joint to three pre-determined angles in
413 plantarflexion and dorsiflexion directions, relative to a neutral foot position. A variable
414 time and trajectory will be used when positioning the foot in order to eliminate
415 extraneous cues and psychophysical processes. The participant will be asked to
416 reposition the ankle joint at the target angle, by moving only the foot segment.
417 Accuracy in joint positioning will be determined by measuring the difference between
418 the target and actual angles using an internet-based goniometer [54]. This
419 application has been shown to be a valid method for measuring joint angles and has
420 a high level of inter- (ICC_{2,1}=0.96 to >0.99) and intra- (ICC= all >0.99) rater reliability
421 [54].

422

423 *Post-intervention assessment*

424 Gait, foot sensation and proprioception will be assessed within two weeks of the end
425 of the 12-week intervention period, using the same procedures employed at
426 baseline. A 12-week intervention period will provide maximal time to allow for the
427 accrual of any sensory training effects and accumulation of meaningful changes in
428 outcomes measures, in particular for participants with MS who show minimal gait
429 disturbance at baseline and currently engage in an active lifestyle. This intervention
430 period is consistent with previous randomised controlled trial intervention studies
431 conducted in pwMS [11, 27, 28], and footwear intervention trials [55, 56]. This final
432 point of assessment will: (i) quantify whether any immediate changes in gait,
433 observed at baseline, have accrued over time, or if additional effects can be seen
434 and; (ii) determine whether there are any alterations in the perception of foot
435 sensation or proprioception, which may suggest the insoles have a sensory training
436 effect. Participants will be asked to return their insole wear diaries and falls
437 calendars at this time. Participants will also be asked to rate the level of comfort
438 experienced when wearing the insoles by way of a series of 100mm visual analogue
439 scales (VAS) used in previously published research [57].

440

441 *Data analysis*

442 All analyses will be conducted in a blinded manner, on an intention-to-treat basis,
443 with the alpha set to 0.05. We will explore frequency distributions, percentages and
444 calculate means and standard deviations for the outcome measures. Differences
445 between intervention and control groups in spatiotemporal gait variables, gait
446 kinematics, foot sensation or proprioception, over the intervention period will be
447 explored using General Linear Models (repeated measures analysis of variance,
448 ANCOVA), in a two group (smooth control insole; textured insole) x 3 phase

449 (Baseline assessment 1, Baseline assessment 2, Post-intervention) model. We will
450 adjust for potential confounding variables (e.g. age, gender, disease duration) by
451 using these as covariates. Non-parametric tests will be used where data is not
452 normally distributed or violates the assumption of sphericity. Multiple regression
453 modelling will be used to determine any relationships between foot sensation,
454 proprioception and measures of gait performance. Data will be analysed using SPSS
455 version 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL 60606, USA).

456

457 **Discussion**

458 Gait impairment is one of the most disabling and debilitating complaints reported by
459 pwMS [5]. Deteriorating mobility observed in the early stages of disease [6-8] not
460 only increases the risk of falling [1, 2], but frequently culminates in a complete loss of
461 walking ability in the advanced stages [58]. The associated personal and societal
462 burdens can have devastating implications for the individual, their families, and
463 national health services. Physical rehabilitation strategies reported to improve gait in
464 pwMS commonly involve short-term multi-component exercise programs [9-13].
465 Maintenance of walking stability is attributed to optimal sensorimotor function,
466 however therapeutic management of gait impairments in pwMS, largely focuses on
467 addressing motor problems and poor aerobic capacity, and to a lesser extent
468 sensory training, which is commonly addressed purely by way of balance tasks
469 under a variety of sensory conditions. Interventions targeting sensory impairments at
470 a more local level, including foot sensation and lower limb proprioception, are not
471 frequently incorporated. This is a crucial area to address as loss of foot sensation
472 and impaired lower limb proprioception are strongly associated with standing
473 balance and gait performance in pwMS [15, 19]. Therefore, the effectiveness of

474 current strategies for managing mobility in pwMS could be further enhanced by using
475 a wider range of treatment techniques.

476

477 Providing enhanced sensory input to the plantar surface of the feet has recently
478 been considered a potential mechanism through which footwear interventions may
479 improve gait [21, 22, 24, 59-63], by way of altering sensorimotor function. Underlying
480 physiological mechanisms by which a textured insole may initiate changes in gait are
481 suggested to include the provision of sufficient tactile stimulation to alter the rate of
482 discharge from mechanoreceptors or firing patterns of populations of sensory
483 afferents located in the feet. Textured shoe insoles appear to have the capacity to
484 alter gait patterns, potentially improving gait stability in ageing, neurodegenerative
485 and neuromuscular disease groups with known balance impairments. To date,
486 exploratory studies report that wearing shoe insoles designed to enhance plantar
487 sensation can significantly increase single-limb support time [24], increase stride
488 length and reduce double-limb support time [32] during walking in people with
489 Parkinson's disease. Similar conclusions are emerging for pwMS, with exploratory
490 work observing beneficial alterations in spatiotemporal gait parameters [20], gait
491 kinetics and kinematics [21].

492

493 This randomised controlled trial will use fundamental knowledge of sensory and
494 motor function in MS to develop novel ways to improve gait by way of enhancing
495 sensory information at the soles of the feet. Preliminary work in this clinical
496 population [20] provides strong evidence of improvements in gait patterns when
497 textured insoles were worn (as a single intervention) for two weeks. It is possible that
498 the benefits of wearing textured insoles may accrue, and additional benefits may be

499 observed, over a longer period of time. Findings from this trial could have
500 implications on the management of gait impairment in pwMS. The benefit for pwMS
501 (and their families) is that this study may lead to the development of a new,
502 evidence-based footwear intervention which is inexpensive, non-invasive, promotes
503 self-management by the user, and has the capacity to enhance mobility and
504 independent living. Furthermore, addressing problems with mobility, and
505 subsequently quality of life, could have a major economic impact, through
506 improvements in productivity or reducing working days lost. The benefit for health
507 care professionals is that this study may generate vital evidence to inform the
508 development of more effective, multi-faceted and multi-disciplinary rehabilitation
509 programmes, which are tailored to address a greater range of MS-specific
510 impairments that contribute to deteriorating gait. This could have major implications
511 on current clinical guidelines and policy relating to physical rehabilitation strategies
512 for pwMS.

513

514 *List of abbreviations*

515 ANCOVA: analysis of covariance; CoP: Centre of pressure; EDSS: Expanded
516 Disability Severity Scale; EVA: ethyl vinyl acetate; ICC: intraclass correlation
517 coefficient; ML: mediolateral; MMSE: Mini-mental state examination; MOS: Medical
518 Outcomes Study; MS: Multiple Sclerosis; MSIS-29: Multiple Sclerosis Impact Scale;
519 MS QoL-54: Multiple Sclerosis Quality of Life Instrument; MSWS-12: Multiple
520 Sclerosis Walking Scale; pwMS: people with Multiple Sclerosis; SD: standard
521 deviation; SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences VAS: visual analogue
522 scale

523

524 *Competing interests (non-financial)*

525 The textured insoles and smooth control insoles investigated in this study were
526 supplied by Algeos PTY. Ltd. (Liverpool, UK). This company had no involvement in
527 the conception or design of the study or preparation of this manuscript; and will not
528 be involved in subsequent data acquisition, analysis or interpretation.

529

530 *Acknowledgments*

531 This project is funded by Multiple Sclerosis Research Australia. The funding body
532 had no involvement in the conception or design of the study or preparation of this
533 manuscript; and will not be involved in subsequent data acquisition, analysis or
534 interpretation.

535

536 *Authors' contributions*

537 AH conceived the idea for the study and took primary responsibility for drafting the
538 manuscript. All authors obtained funding for the study, contributed to the design of
539 the trial protocol, intervention, and outcome measures, and preparation of the
540 manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549 **References**

- 550 1. Cattaneo D, De Nuzzo C, Fascia T, Macalli M, Pisoni I, Cardini R. Risks of
551 falls in subjects with multiple sclerosis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2002;83:864-
552 7.
- 553 2. Finlayson M, Peterson E, Cho C. Risk factors for falling among people aged
554 45 to 90 years with multiple sclerosis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2006;87:1274-
555 9.
- 556 3. Matsuda P, Shumway-Cook A, Bamer A, Johnson SL, Amtmann D, Kraft GH.
557 Falls in multiple sclerosis: incidence, causes, risk factors and health care
558 provider response. PM&R. 2011;3:624-32.
- 559 4. Scheinberg L, Holland N, LaRocca N. Multiple Sclerosis: earning a living. N Y
560 State J Med. 1980;80:1395-400.
- 561 5. Finlayson M. Concerns about the future among older adults with Multiple
562 Sclerosis. Am J Occup Ther. 2004;58:54-63.
- 563 6. Givon U, Zeilig G, Achiron A. Gait analysis in multiple sclerosis:
564 Characterization of temporal-spatial parameters using GAITRite functional
565 ambulation system. Gait Posture. 2009;29:138-42.
- 566 7. Kalron A, Dvir Z, Achiron A. Walking while talking - Difficulties incurred during
567 the initial stages of multiple sclerosis disease process. Gait Posture.
568 2010;32:332-5.
- 569 8. Martin C, Philips B, Kilpatrick T, Butzkueven H, Tubridy N, McDonald E et al.
570 Gait and balance impairment in early multiple sclerosis in the absence of
571 clinical disability. Mult Scler. 2006;12:620-8.
- 572 9. Cattaneo D, Jonsdottir J, Zocchi M, Regola A. Effects of balance exercises on
573 people with multiple sclerosis: a pilot study. Clin Rehabil. 2007;21:771-81.

- 574 10. Kasser SL, Jacobs JV, Ford M, Tourville TW. Effects of balance-specific
575 exercises on balance, physical activity and quality of life in adults with multiple
576 sclerosis: a pilot investigation. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2015;1-12.
- 577 11. Learmonth YC, Paul L, McFadyen AK, Marshall-McKenna R, Mattison P,
578 Miller L et al. The effects of a 12-week leisure centre-based, group exercise
579 intervention for people moderately affected with multiple sclerosis: a
580 randomized controlled pilot study. *Clin Rehabil.* 2012;26:579-93.
- 581 12. Sangelaji B, Nabavi SM, Estebarsari F, Banshi MR, Rashidian H, Jamshidi E et
582 al. Effect of Combination Exercise Therapy on Walking Distance, Postural
583 Balance, Fatigue and Quality of Life in Multiple Sclerosis Patients: A Clinical
584 Trial Study. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2014;16:e17173.
- 585 13. Vore ME, Elgelid S, Bolger S, Parsons C, Quashnoc R, Raymor J. Impact of a
586 10-Week Individualized Exercise Program on Physical Function and Fatigue
587 of People with Multiple Sclerosis: A Pilot Study. *Int J MS Care.* 2011;13:121-6.
- 588 14. Cattaneo D, Jonsdottir J. Sensory impairments in quiet standing in subjects
589 with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler.* 2009;15:59-67.
- 590 15. Citaker S, Gunduz AG, Guclu MB, Nazliel B, Irkec C, Kaya D. Relationship
591 between foot sensation and standing balance in patients with multiple
592 sclerosis. *Gait Posture.* 2011;34:275-8.
- 593 16. Frzovic D, Morris ME, Vowels L. Clinical tests of standing balance:
594 performance of persons with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*
595 2000;81:215-21.
- 596 17. Rougier P, Thoumie P, Cantalloube S, Lamotte D. What compensatory motor
597 strategies do patients with multiple sclerosis develop for balance control? *Rev*
598 *Neurol (Paris)* 2007;163:1054-64.

- 599 18. Soyuer F, Mirza M, Erkorkmaz U. Balance performance in three forms of
600 multiple sclerosis. *Neurol Res.* 2006;28:555-62.
- 601 19. Thoumie P, Mevellec E. Relation between walking speed and muscle strength
602 is affected by somatosensory loss in multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg*
603 *Psychiatry.* 2002;73:313-5.
- 604 20. Dixon J, Hatton AL, Robinson J, Gamesby-Iyayi H, Hodgson D, Rome K et al.
605 Effect of textured insoles on balance and gait in people with multiple sclerosis:
606 an exploratory trial. *Physiotherapy.* 2014;100:142-9.
- 607 21. Kelleher KJ, Spence WD, Solomonidis S, Apatsidis D. The effect of textured
608 insoles on gait patterns of people with multiple sclerosis. *Gait Posture*
609 2010;32:67-71.
- 610 22. Ramdharry GM, Marsden JF, Day BL, Thompson AJ. De-stabilizing and
611 training effects of foot orthoses in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler.* 2006;12:219-
612 26.
- 613 23. Hatton AL, Dixon J, Rome K, Newton JL, Martin DJ. Altering gait by way of
614 stimulation of the plantar surface of the foot: the immediate effect of wearing
615 textured insoles in older fallers. *J Foot Ankle Res.* 2012;5:11.
- 616 24. Jenkins ME, Almeida QJ, Spaulding SJ, van Oostveen RB, Holmes JD,
617 Johnson AM et al. Plantar cutaneous sensory stimulation improves single-limb
618 support time and EMG activation patterns among individuals with Parkinson's
619 disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord.* 2009;15:697-702.
- 620 25. Kalron A, Pasitselsky D, Greenberg-Abrahami M, Achiron A. Do textured
621 insoles affect postural control and spatiotemporal parameters of gait and
622 plantar sensation in people with Multiple Sclerosis? *PM&R.* 2015;7:17-25.

- 623 26. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D. CONSORT 2010 Statement: updated
624 guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2010;340:c323.
- 625 27. Dalgas U, Stenager E, Jakobsen J, Petersen T, Hansen HJ, Knudsen C et al.
626 Resistance training improves muscle strength and functional capacity in
627 multiple sclerosis. *Neurology*. 2009;73:1478-84.
- 628 28. Collett J, Dawes H, Meaney A, Sackley C, Barker K, Wade D et al. Exercise
629 for multiple sclerosis: a single-blind randomized trial comparing three exercise
630 intensities. *Mult Scler*. 2011;17:594-603.
- 631 29. Hohol MJ, Orav EJ, Weiner HL. Disease steps in multiple sclerosis: a simple
632 approach to evaluate disease progression. *Neurology*. 1995;45:251-5.
- 633 30. Folstein M, Folstein S, McHugh P. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for
634 grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res*
635 1975;12:189-98.
- 636 31. Wilson ML, Rome K, Hodgson D, Ball P. Effect of textured foot orthotics on
637 static and dynamic postural stability in middle-aged females. *Gait Posture*.
638 2008;27:36-42.
- 639 32. Qiu F, Cole MH, Davids KW, Hennig EM, Silburn PA, Netscher H et al. Effects
640 of textured insoles on balance in people with Parkinson's disease. *PLoS One*.
641 2013;8:e83309.
- 642 33. Riskowski JL, Mikesky AE, Bahamonde RE, Alvey TV, Burr DB.
643 Proprioception, gait kinematics, and rate of loading during walking: Are they
644 related? *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact* 2005;5:379-87.
- 645 34. Lamb SE, Jørstad-Stein EC, Hauer K, Becker C. Development of a common
646 outcome data set for fall injury prevention trials: the Prevention of Falls
647 Network Europe consensus. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2005;53:1618-22.

- 648 35. Hohol MJ, Orav EJ, Weiner HL. Disease steps in multiple sclerosis: a
649 longitudinal study comparing Disease Steps and EDSS to evaluate disease
650 progression. *Mult Scler.* 1999;5:349-54.
- 651 36. Tardieu G, Shentoub S, Delarue R. A la recherche d'une technique de mesure
652 de la spasticite. *Rev Neurol (Paris).* 1954;91:143-4.
- 653 37. Schmahmann JD, Gardner R, MacMore J, Vangel MG. Development of a
654 Brief Ataxia Rating Scale (BARS) based on a modified form of the ICARS.
655 *Movement Disord.* 2009;24:1820-8.
- 656 38. Hobart J, Lamping D, Fitzpatrick R, Riazi A, Thompson A. The Multiple
657 Sclerosis Impact Scale (MSIS-29): a new patient-based outcome measure.
658 *Brain.* 2001;124:962-73.
- 659 39. Hobart JC, Riazi A, Lamping DL, Fitzpatrick R, Thompson AJ. Measuring the
660 impact of MS on walking ability: the 12-Item MS Walking Scale (MSWS-12).
661 *Neurology.* 2003;60:31-6.
- 662 40. Vickrey BG, Hays RD, Harooni R, Myers LW, Ellison GW. A health-related
663 quality of life measure for multiple sclerosis. *Qual Life Res.* 1995;4:187-206.
- 664 41. Fisk JD, Pontefract A, Ritvo PG, Archibald CJ, Murray TJ. The impact of
665 fatigue on patients with multiple sclerosis. *Can J Neurol Sci.* 1992;21:9-14.
- 666 42. Archibald CJ, McGrath PJ, Ritvo PG, Fisk JD, Bhan V, Maxner CE et al. Pain
667 prevalence, severity and impact in a clinical sample of multiple sclerosis
668 patients. *Pain.* 1994;58:89-93.
- 669 43. Sullivan JLL, Edgley K, Dehoux E. A survey of multiple sclerosis. Part 1:
670 perceived cognitive problems and compensatory strategy use. *Can J Rehabil.*
671 1990;4:99-105.

- 672 44. Yardley L, Beyer N, Hauer K, Kempen G, Piot-Ziegler C, Todd C.
673 Development and initial validation of the Falls Efficacy Scale-International.
674 Age Ageing. 2005;34:614-9.
- 675 45. Snook EM, Motl RW, Gliottoni RC. The effect of walking mobility on the
676 measurement of physical activity using accelerometry in multiple sclerosis.
677 Clin Rehabil 2009;23:248-58.
- 678 46. Weikert M, Motl RW, Suh Y, McAuley E, Wynn D. Accelerometry in persons
679 with multiple sclerosis: measurement of physical activity or walking mobility? J
680 Neurol Sci. 2010;290:6-11.
- 681 47. Goldman MD, Motl RW, Rudick RA. Possible clinical outcome measures for
682 clinical trials in patients with multiple sclerosis. Ther Adv Neurol Disord.
683 2010;3:229-39.
- 684 48. Batey P, Rome K, Finn P, Hanchard N. Assessing reliability of measurement
685 of gait velocity. Physiotherapy. 2003;89:313-7.
- 686 49. Menz HB, Latt MD, Tiedemann A, Mun San Kwan M, Lord SR. Reliability of
687 the GAITRite walkway system for the quantification of temporo-spatial
688 parameters of gait in young and older people. Gait Posture. 2004;20:20-5.
- 689 50. Menant JC, Steele JR, Menz HB, Munro BJ, Lord SR. Effects of walking
690 surfaces and footwear on temporo-spatial gait parameters in young and older
691 people. Gait Posture. 2009;29:392-7.
- 692 51. McIlroy WE, Maki BE. Preferred placement of the feet during quiet stance:
693 development of a standardized foot placement for balance testing. Clin
694 Biomech. 1997;12:66-70.
- 695 52. Hatton AL, Dixon J, Rome K, Martin D. Standing on textured surfaces: effects
696 on standing balance in healthy older adults. Age Ageing. 2011;40:363-8.

- 697 53. Armstrong DG, Lavery LA, Vela SA, Quebedeaux TL, Fleischli JG. Choosing
698 a practical screening instrument to identify patients at risk for diabetic foot
699 ulceration. *Arch Intern Med.* 1998;158:289-92.
- 700 54. Russell TG, Jull GA, Wootton R. Can the Internet be used as a medium to
701 evaluate knee angle? *Manual Ther.* 2003;8:242-6.
- 702 55. Chalmers AC, Busby C, Goyert J, Porter B, Schulzer M. Metatarsalgia and
703 rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized, single-blind, sequential trial comprising 2
704 types of foot orthoses and supportive shoes. *J Rheumatol* 2000;27:1632-7.
- 705 56. Hinman RS, Payne C, Metcalf BR, Wrigley TV, Bennell KL. Lateral wedges in
706 knee osteoarthritis: What are their immediate clinical and biomechanical
707 effects and can these predict a three-month clinical outcome. *Arthritis Care
708 Res.* 2008;59:408-15.
- 709 57. Mills K, Blanch P, Vicenzino B. Identifying clinically meaningful tools for
710 measuring comfort perception of footwear. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
711 2010;42:1966-71.
- 712 58. Weinshenker BG, Bass B, Rice GP, Noseworthy J, Carriere W, Baskerville J
713 et al. The natural history of multiple sclerosis: A geographically based study 1.
714 Clinical course and disability. *Brain.* 1989;112:133-46.
- 715 59. Galica AM, Kang HG, Priplata AA, D'Andrea SE, Starobinets OV, Sorond FA
716 et al. Subsensory vibrations to the feet reduce gait variability in elderly fallers.
717 *Gait Posture.* 2009;30:383-7.
- 718 60. Maki BE, Perry SD, Norrie RG, McIlroy WE. Effect of facilitation of sensation
719 from plantar foot-surface boundaries on postural stabilization in young and
720 older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 1999;54A:M281-7.

- 721 61. Novak P, Novak V. Effect of step-synchronized vibration stimulation of soles
722 on gait in Parkinson's disease: a pilot study. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* 2006;3:9.
- 723 62. Nurse MA, Hulliger M, Wakeling JM, Nigg BM, Stefanyshyn DJ. Changing the
724 texture of footwear can alter gait patterns. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.*
725 2005;15:496-506.
- 726 63. Perry SD, Radtke A, McIlroy WE, Fernie GR, Maki BE. Efficacy and
727 effectiveness of a balance-enhancing insole. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.*
728 2008;63A:595-602.

729

730

731 **Figure 1:** Trial Design

Enrolment

Initial contact through Multiple Sclerosis Queensland; Multiple Sclerosis community and health care services across the Brisbane, Gold Coast, Logan regions; local media

Assessed for eligibility via telephone screening
Eligible participants invited for clinical screening examination

Clinical screening examination
Eligible participants recruited and consented

- Excluded**
- Not meeting criteria
 - Declined to participate
 - Deceased
 - Other

Baseline assessment 1 (Week 0)

Spatiotemporal gait variables & gait kinematics

- Walking over even and uneven ground (2 surfaces, 4 footwear conditions)

Sensory measures

- *Foot sensation*: Light touch-pressure, vibration sense, two-point discrimination
- *Proprioception*: Ankle joint position sense

Baseline assessments

- Demographics, medical history, self-report questionnaires addressing quality of life, impact of symptoms, perceived disability, falls
- *Balance*: Quiet standing (2 surfaces, 2 visual conditions, 4 footwear conditions)

Habitual activity monitoring (7 consecutive days)

- Withdrawal
- Exacerbation of Multiple Sclerosis symptoms lasting >24hrs
- Lost to follow-up
- Deceased
- Other

Baseline assessment 2 (Week 4)

Spatiotemporal gait variables & gait kinematics
Sensory Measures: *Foot sensation, Proprioception*

Allocation

Randomisation (N=176)

Smooth insole (N=88)

Textured insole (N=88)

12-week intervention period

Follow-up

Post-intervention assessment (Week 16)

Spatiotemporal gait variables & gait kinematics
Sensory measures: *Foot sensation, Proprioception*
Insole wear diaries & falls calendars

- Withdrawal
- Exacerbation of Multiple Sclerosis symptoms lasting >24hrs
- Discontinued intervention
- Lost to follow-up
- Deceased

Post-intervention assessment (Week 16)

Spatiotemporal gait variables & gait kinematics
Sensory measures: *Foot sensation, Proprioception*
Insole wear diaries & falls calendars

- Withdrawal
- Exacerbation of Multiple Sclerosis symptoms lasting >24hrs
- Discontinued intervention
- Lost to follow-up
- Deceased

Analysis

Analysed (Excluded from analysis)

Analysed (Excluded from analysis)