

1 *Submission Type:* Original Investigation

2  
3 *Title of the article*

4  
5 **Relationships between internal and external match load indicators in**  
6 **soccer match officials**

7  
8 *Full Names of the Authors:*

9  
10 Daniel Castillo<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Weston<sup>2</sup>, Shaun J. McLaren<sup>2</sup>, Jesús Cámara<sup>1</sup>, Javier Yanci<sup>1</sup>

11  
12 *Institutional/Corporate Affiliations:*

13  
14 <sup>1</sup>*Faculty of Education and Sport, University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU, Vitoria-*  
15 *Gasteiz, Spain, and* <sup>2</sup>*Department of Sport & Exercise Sciences, School of Social*  
16 *Sciences, Business & Law, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, United Kingdom.*

17  
18  
19 *Running Head:* Match loads in soccer referees.

20  
21  
22 *Contact Details for the Corresponding Author:*

23 Javier Yanci, PhD

24 Faculty of Education and Sport,

25 University of the Basque Country, UPV/EHU,

26 Lasarte 71, 01007, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain

27 E-mail address: [javier.yanci@ehu.es](mailto:javier.yanci@ehu.es)

28  
29 Manuscript word count: 4989

30 Abstract Word Count: 251

31 Number of Figures and Tables: 3

## Abstract

The aims of this study were to describe the internal and external match load (ML) of refereeing activity during official matches and also to investigate the relationship among the methods of ML quantification across a competitive soccer season. A further aim was to examine the usefulness of differential perceived exertion (dRPE) as a tool for monitoring internal ML in soccer referees. Twenty field referees (FR) and 43 assistant referees (AR) participated in this study. Data were collected from 30 competitive matches (FR = 20 observations, AR = 43 observations) and included measures of internal (Edwards' heart rate derived training impulse [TRIMP<sub>EDW</sub>]), external (total distance covered [TD], distance covered at high speeds [HSR] and player load [PL]) ML, differentiated ratings of perceived respiratory [sRPE<sub>res</sub> ML] and leg muscle [sRPE<sub>mus</sub> ML] exertion). Internal and external ML were all greater for FR when compared to AR (-19.7 to -72.5); with differences ranging from very likely very large to most likely extremely large. The relationships between internal ML and external ML indicators were, in most cases, unclear for FR ( $r < .35$ ) and small to moderate for AR ( $r < .40$ ). We found substantial differences between RPE<sub>res</sub> and RPE<sub>mus</sub> scores in both FR (.6 AU;  $\pm 90\%$  confidence limits .4 AU) and AR (.4;  $\pm .3$  AU). These data demonstrate the multifaceted demands of soccer refereeing and thereby highlight the importance of monitoring both internal and external ML. Moreover, dRPE represent distinct dimensions of effort and may be useful in monitoring soccer referees ML during official matches.

**Key words:** perceived exertion, heart rate, training load, referee, GPS.

## Introduction

Quantifying the physical and physiological loads imposed by specific training drills and competition is important to understand the dose-response nature of the training process, with regards to optimizing the performance of athletes<sup>1,2</sup>. An accurate and detailed understanding of competition demands can provide sport scientists and practitioners with an objective framework to prescribe the optimum training dose<sup>3,4</sup>. Training loads (TL) and match loads (ML) may be expressed in terms of both external (physical demands, such as total distance covered, distance at certain velocities, accelerations, etc.)<sup>5-8</sup> and internal (physiological demands, such as heart rate [HR] and ratings of perceived exertion [RPE])<sup>9-12</sup> components. Indeed, these ML indicators have been extensively analyzed using in both soccer players<sup>9,10,13,14</sup> and in match officials<sup>12,15,16</sup>.

As a result of recent developments in microsensor technology, some authors<sup>17-19</sup> have suggested that player load (PL) - a vector magnitude representing the sum of accelerations recorded in the three principal axes of movement - could be a more suitable measure of external ML than locomotive demands alone, which neglect both energetically taxing changes in speed and the three-dimensional nature of movement and impacts typical to soccer players and officials<sup>18</sup>. Likewise, while RPE represent a practical and valid measure of internal load<sup>1,20</sup>, differential RPE (i.e. central ['respiratory': sRPE<sub>res</sub>] and peripheral ['muscular': sRPE<sub>mus</sub>] exertion) have gained recent attention within the team sport literature as measures which may improve the accuracy and sensitivity of internal load measurement by discriminating global perceived exertion into its specific physiological mediators<sup>9,21-23</sup>. Furthermore, these subjective measures may be useful to sport scientists as they are inexpensive, accessible at all levels and are not prohibited by the rules of competition<sup>1</sup>. While dRPE and PL have the potential to enhance the monitoring of internal and external loads during intermittent, stochastic activities such as team sport competition, there is no literature available to date which quantifies these measures in soccer referees during official matches<sup>24,25</sup>. This information could provide unique and novel insights into the specific physical and physiological demands of match officials during competitive fixtures.

Knowledge of the relationships between internal and external ML permits for a better understanding of the dose-response nature of training and competition<sup>1</sup>. Weston et al.<sup>12</sup> observed a moderate association between HR and RPE in field referees (FR,  $r = .49$ ), while Costa et al.<sup>26</sup> observed small to moderate correlations between total distance covered and internal load measures (Edwards' HR-derived training impulse [TRIMP<sub>EDW</sub>],  $r = .22$  and session-RPE [sRPE] TL,  $r = .38$ ). Despite this, only a few studies<sup>27,28</sup> have examined the internal-external ML relationships in assistant referees (AR). Given the recent development and use of novel measures of internal (i.e. sRPE<sub>res</sub> and sRPE<sub>mus</sub>) and external (i.e. PL) ML, the relationships between these variables and also traditional ML measures are of interest<sup>9,19</sup>. While an examination of such may further advocate the criterion-related validity of dRPE and PL as useful monitoring tools in team sport players and match officials, this information is also likely to be useful to those responsible for the programming, monitoring and evaluation of TL in team sport match officials.

Therefore, the main purposes of this study were to describe internal and external match load of refereeing activity during official matches and to also investigate the relationship among the methods of match load quantification across a competitive

151 soccer season on match officials. A further aim was to examine the usefulness of dRPE  
152 as a tool for monitoring internal match loads in soccer referees.

153

154

## Methods

155

### Participants

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

### Design

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

### Internal Loads

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

To quantify TRIMP<sub>EDW</sub>, match officials' HR was recorded continuously during the matches (Polar Team System™, Kempele, Finland) at 5 s intervals. HR during the 15 min half-time period was excluded from the analysis. Intensities of effort were subsequently calculated and expressed as percentages of each match official known maximal heart rate (HR<sub>max</sub>) obtained during the match<sup>26</sup>. The total time (min) spent in 5 arbitrary intensity zones was summated and multiplied by a specific weighing factor. These were: 1 for 50–60% HR<sub>max</sub>, 2 for 60–70% HR<sub>max</sub>, 3 for 70–80% HR<sub>max</sub>, 4 for 80–90% HR<sub>max</sub> and 5 for 90–100% HR<sub>max</sub>. The sum all 5 intensity zones represented TRIMP<sub>EDW</sub><sup>29</sup>.

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

### External Loads

201 Referees' match activities were monitored using microsensor units containing a 10 Hz  
202 global positioning system (GPS) and a 100 Hz triaxial accelerometer (MinimaxX v4.0,  
203 Catapult Innovations™, Melbourne, Australia). Microsensor units were harnessed in a  
204 tight-fit vest which was worn by the match officials throughout the games. The  
205 microsensor devices were activated 15 min prior to the start of each match, in  
206 accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. Data were downloaded post-  
207 match to a PC and analysed using a customized software package (Logan Plus v.4.4,  
208 Catapult Innovations™) <sup>19</sup>. We used TD (m) and HSR (> 13 km·h<sup>-1</sup>) distance (m)  
209 recorded from the GPS within the microsensor units as our indicators of running-based  
210 external MLs <sup>28</sup>. Additionally, PL was computed as vector magnitude representing the  
211 sum of accelerations recorded in the anterior-posterior, mediolateral and vertical planes  
212 of movement, measured by the microsensor units' 100 Hz tri-axial piezoelectric linear  
213 (Kionix: KXP94). The reliability and validity of these microsensor units for the  
214 measurement of TD, HSR and PL are reported elsewhere <sup>31,32</sup>.

## 215 216 **Data analysis**

217  
218 Results are presented as means ± standard deviations (SD). Prior to analyses, plots of  
219 the residuals versus the predicted values of all variables revealed no clear evidence of  
220 non-uniformity of error. To compare the differences in internal and external ML  
221 between FR and AR, a magnitude-based inference approach was used <sup>33</sup>. Data were log  
222 transformed and subsequently back transformed to represent the between-referee  
223 differences in ML' as accurate percentages. Standardized thresholds of .2, .6, 1.2, 2.0  
224 and 4.0 multiplied by the pooled between-referee SD were used to anchor small,  
225 moderate, large, very large and extremely large differences, respectively. Uncertainty in  
226 the estimates was then calculated based on the disposition of the 90% confidence limits  
227 (CL) for the respective mean difference in the relation to the standardized thresholds.  
228 The probability (percent chances) that the true between-referee differences in internal  
229 and external ML were the observed magnitude were then qualified via the following  
230 probabilistic terms: 25–75%, possibly; 75–95%, likely; 95–99.5%, very likely; >99.5%,  
231 most likely <sup>33</sup>. Inferences were classified as unclear if the 90% CL overlapped the  
232 thresholds for both substantially positive and negative thresholds by ≥5%. Between-  
233 subject correlations were calculated to examine the relationships between internal and  
234 external ML. For referees with repeated match samples, the **mean** value for each ML  
235 variable was used in replacement of the original data (n = 20, range = 2–4 matches).  
236 The following scale of magnitudes was used to interpret the correlation coefficients:  
237 <0.1, trivial; .1–0.3, small; .3–.5, moderate; .5–.7, large; .7–.9, very large; >.9, nearly  
238 perfect <sup>33</sup>. Confidence limits (90%) for the correlations were constructed using a bias  
239 corrected accelerated bootstrapping technique of 2000 samples with replacement from  
240 the original data (SPSS™ v.21, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Magnitude-based inferences  
241 were subsequently applied to qualify the uncertainty in the correlation estimates, using  
242 the method previously described <sup>33</sup>.

## 243 244 **Results**

245  
246 The FR' and AR' internal and external MLs are presented in Table 1. Internal and  
247 external ML were all greater for FR when compared to AR, with differences ranging  
248 from very likely very large to most likely extremely large. Analysis of match sRPE<sub>mus</sub>  
249 and sRPE<sub>res</sub> scores revealed that for the FR, the difference between RPE<sub>mus</sub> (7.1 ± 1.1  
250 AU) and RPE<sub>res</sub> (6.6 ± 1.1 AU) was likely small/ possibly moderate (.6; ±90%

251 **confidence limits** .4 AU). For AR, the difference between RPE<sub>mus</sub> ( $4.2 \pm 1.5$  AU) and  
252 RPE<sub>res</sub> ( $3.8 \pm 1.3$  AU) was likely small (.4;  $\pm 0.3$  AU).

253

254 **\*\*\* Table 1 approximately here \*\*\***

255

256 The relationships amongst internal and external MLs for FR and AR are presented in  
257 Tables 2 and 3, respectively. For FR, the relationships between internal and external  
258 load measures ranged from unclear to possibly moderate, while the relationships  
259 amongst internal and external load measures ranged from unclear to possibly very large  
260 (Table 2). For AR, the relationships between internal and external load measures ranged  
261 from unclear to likely moderate, while the relationships amongst internal and external  
262 load measures ranged from unclear to likely very large and likely large to very likely  
263 very large, respectively (Table 3).

264

265 **\*\*\* Table 2 approximately here \*\*\***

266 **\*\*\* Table 3 approximately here \*\*\***

267

## 268 **Discussion**

269

270 The aims of this study were to describe the match loads (ML) of soccer field and  
271 assistant referees across a competitive season of official matches and also to investigate  
272 the relationships between methods of internal and external ML quantification. A further  
273 aim was to examine the usefulness of differential ratings of perceived exertion (dRPE)  
274 as a tool for monitoring internal ML in soccer referees. The results of our study showed  
275 that, a) FR attain considerably higher internal and external MLs when compared with  
276 AR, b) the relationships between internal ML and external ML indicators were, in most  
277 cases, unclear for FR and small to moderate for AR, and c) dRPE represent distinct  
278 dimensions of effort in soccer referees during official matches.

279

280 Given the different roles undertaken by FR and AR during match play, and considering  
281 that assistant refereeing is limited to half of the length of the field, external ML  
282 performed by AR represents approximately half of the external ML performed by FR<sup>34</sup>.  
283 Resultantly, AR also incur substantially lower internal ML when compared with FR<sup>34</sup>.  
284 These notions are in agreement with our current data, which shows that internal and  
285 external ML **were** ~20–40% and ~50–70% lower, respectively, in AR when compared  
286 with FR. Others have reported total match distances of ~10,000 and ~5,000 m for FR  
287 and AR, respectively, across various levels of soccer competition<sup>16,35</sup>. Likewise,  
288 Krstrup et al.<sup>36</sup> noted that both TD covered (FR,  $10,270 \pm 900$  vs. AR,  $6,760 \pm 830$  m)  
289 and distance covered above  $18 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  (FR,  $1,920 \pm 580$  vs. AR,  $970 \pm 520$  m) were  
290 more than double for FR when compared with AR. Regarding internal ML, the **typical**  
291 **match intensity is** greater for FR (85–90% HR<sub>max</sub>) when compared with AR (77–79%  
292 HR<sub>max</sub>)<sup>12,35</sup>.

293

294 A unique aspect of the current study was the ability to quantify novel methods of  
295 internal and external ML indicators (i.e. dRPE and PL, respectively) in soccer referees  
296 during official matches. Differential RPE provide information on the perceived central  
297 (respiratory) and peripheral (leg muscle) internal ML<sup>4,9,21,22</sup>, while PL represents the  
298 sum of external load incurred from multiplanar activities such as running (footfalls),  
299 acceleration/decelerations, changes of direction, and impacts to name a few<sup>18,32</sup>. Our  
300 data again show that FR incurs greater PL and **report greater dRPE** when compared with

301 their AR counterparts. Taken together, these data support and add to the literature  
302 surrounding the demands of soccer match officials during competition. Knowledge of  
303 these different internal and external match responses could help inform the planning and  
304 progression of appropriate in-season training loads designed to prepare match officials  
305 for the physical and physiological requirements of competition <sup>34</sup>.

306  
307 Examination of the relationships between internal and external ML may help physical  
308 trainers of soccer referees know whether both ML methods are necessary to quantify  
309 match demands or use only one method is enough to quantify and organize the  
310 appropriate training doses, based on the desired training responses that are specific to  
311 match demands <sup>1</sup>. The results of our investigation are in agreement with others, who  
312 have typically reported unclear/trivial through to moderate correlations between internal  
313 ML and intensity with external ML indicators in soccer referees <sup>15,26,27,37</sup>. Costa et al. <sup>26</sup>  
314 observed small and moderate associations between TD covered and both TRIMP<sub>EDW</sub> ( $r$   
315 = .22) and sRPE ML ( $r$  = .38) in Brazilian FR. Catteral et al. <sup>37</sup> reported a trivial  
316 correlation ( $r$  = .15) between TD and mean %HR<sub>max</sub> in professional FR, although Mallo  
317 et al. <sup>28</sup> reported a moderate association ( $r$  = .50) between mean %HR<sub>max</sub> and the time  
318 spent running at high speeds (>18 km·h<sup>-1</sup>) in international FR. Likewise, moderate  
319 relationships ( $r$  = .31) have also been observed in international AR between mean  
320 %HR<sub>max</sub> and the total number of high-intensity activities (>13 km·h<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>27</sup>. It is likely that  
321 the associations between internal and external ML could be moderated by factors such  
322 as the individual fitness level of the referee and also acute physiological stress incurred  
323 as a result of physical (i.e. recent training, nutrition, etc.) and social (i.e. travel, sleep,  
324 etc.) factors. This may be one explanation for the typically low (unclear to moderate)  
325 correlations observed in our current investigation and within the work of others <sup>10,19</sup>.  
326 Due to associations between internal and external load measures were ranged from  
327 unclear to possibly moderate in our study, it seems that these constructs measure  
328 distinctly different match demands. We therefore recommend concurrent measures of  
329 match internal and external loads to help fully understand the true dose-response of  
330 referees' during team-sports matches <sup>22</sup>.

331  
332 In line with the aims of our investigation, we chose to explore the associations between  
333 measures of internal and external ML only, rather than measures of internal intensity  
334 (i.e. sRPE, mean %HR<sub>max</sub>, blood lactate concentration) and external ML. We feel that  
335 the latter may be conceptually unsound, given that measures of training and match load  
336 encompasses both the intensity and volume of the session. Consequently, the calculation  
337 of ML indicators (i.e. sRPE<sub>res</sub> ML, sRPE<sub>mus</sub> ML, TRIMP<sub>EDW</sub>) provides a more robust  
338 index for investigation rather than intensity alone <sup>38</sup>. Nonetheless, the work of others  
339 coincides with those results reported in our study, in which the relationships between  
340 internal and external ML indicators were typically more prominent in AR when  
341 compared with FR. The physical and physiological demands of a match are very  
342 different for FR and AR due to their disparate roles taken on the field. These findings  
343 may therefore be explained by the relatively short (one half of the field) and linear  
344 running patters of AR in comparison with the stochastic and multi-directional  
345 movements of FR. The latter is likely to induce more variable match demands and  
346 associated internal responses, which could have mitigated the magnitude of the  
347 relationships between internal and external ML.

348  
349 In our investigation, we chose not to pool our sample of match officials due to the very  
350 large / extremely large differences in internal and external ML between these two

351 groups. When concentrating on a more homogeneous subset of match officials (i.e. FR  
352 and AR), the strengths of relationships between internal and external ML are likely to  
353 be much lower than a pooled analysis which may result in spuriously high correlations  
354 that are only useful for confirming already obvious between-group differences<sup>39</sup>. We  
355 acknowledge that our study involved a relatively small sample size, particularly for FR  
356 (n = 20), and our analysis of the relationships between internal and external ML was  
357 therefore restricted to a between-referee comparison. To determine if higher internal  
358 ML loads are associated with higher external ML, a within-subject design is the  
359 appropriate method as it permits the analysis of within-subject changes by removing  
360 between-subject differences<sup>40</sup>. We therefore recommend future work in this area to  
361 utilize larger sample sizes and different competitive levels (i.e. elite referees) involving  
362 several repeated measures per referee, as well as examining the factors that may  
363 reasonably moderate the relationships between internal and external match loads, such  
364 as individual referee characteristics (e.g. physical fitness and acute physiological stress)  
365 and match-related contextual variables<sup>12,27,28,36,41,42</sup>.

366  
367 This is the first study in which dRPE have been collected on professional soccer  
368 referees to quantify internal ML. In our study, RPE<sub>res</sub> and RPE<sub>mus</sub> scores were in the  
369 range of 6-7 ('very hard'). These ratings are typically lower than global RPE reported in  
370 elite soccer referees and may explained by differences in competition standard<sup>12</sup>. A key  
371 finding of our investigation was the substantial differences observed between sRPE<sub>res</sub>  
372 and sRPE<sub>mus</sub> scores in both FR and AR. Match official perceived their leg muscle  
373 exertion to be greater than respiratory exertion - a finding consistent with soccer and  
374 Australian Football players<sup>22</sup>. The results of our correlation analysis also suggest that  
375 there remains approximately 40% unexplained variance between sRPE<sub>res</sub> and sRPE<sub>mus</sub>  
376 during official competition. Taken together, these data indicate that while sRPE<sub>res</sub> and  
377 sRPE<sub>mus</sub> may not be mutually exclusive, dRPE do represent distinct internal constructs  
378 that are perceived differently by sub-elite soccer match officials. The very large  
379 correlation observed between sRPE<sub>res</sub> ML and sRPE<sub>mus</sub> ML is not surprising given that  
380 the augmentation of central and peripheral exertion during exercise is closely related<sup>43</sup>,  
381 particularly during high-intensity intermittent activities<sup>23,44</sup>. The substantial differences  
382 in the magnitudes of the relationships between sRPE<sub>res</sub> and sRPE<sub>mus</sub> with external ML  
383 indicate that these measures may each be influenced by dissimilar external loads. In  
384 agreement with others<sup>4,21-23,45</sup>, we therefore believe our data supports the notion that  
385 dRPE represent a worthwhile addition to the monitoring of ML in soccer referees.  
386 Disassociations between sRPE<sub>res</sub> and sRPE<sub>mus</sub> may help assist in the monitoring and  
387 planning of training loads by informing individualized training or post-match recovery  
388 strategies<sup>22,23</sup>; although such ideas warrant further investigation in both sub-elite and  
389 elite soccer match officials. Consequently, we encourage the collection of these  
390 measures in both future practice and research surrounding team-sport match officials.

## 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 Conclusions

394 Field referees attain considerably higher internal and external MLs when compared with  
395 AR during official competition, suggesting that the planning and progression of training  
396 activities should be different for these two groups. We found that the relationships  
397 between internal and external ML indicators were, in most cases, unclear for field  
398 referees and small to moderate for assistant referees, suggesting that these two factors  
399 are somewhat independent of one another in sub-elite referees. Finally, dRPE represent  
400 distinct dimensions of effort perception in soccer referees during official matches.

401

402

## Practical Applications

403

404 Considering that FR covered almost twice total and high speed running ( $>13\text{km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ )  
405 distance, and registered higher internal loads (i.e.  $\text{sRPE}_{\text{res ML}}$ ,  $\text{sRPE}_{\text{mus ML}}$ ,  
406  $\text{TRIMP}_{\text{EDW}}$ ) than AR, we suggest that FR and AR should undertake different training  
407 regimes not only in relation to prescription training activities but also to overall training  
408 volume. Our data also highlights the importance monitoring both internal and external  
409 loads during matches and training to help manage workloads and prescribe appropriate  
410 training and recovery activities. Differential RPE could be a useful addition to the  
411 monitoring and programming of soccer referees' training loads.

412

413

414

## Acknowledgments

415

416 The authors would like to thank the match officials of the Comité Navarro de Árbitros  
417 de Fútbol (CNAF) for facilitating data collection and for the opportunity to carry out  
418 this investigation. This study was supported by the Basque Country Government for  
419 doctoral Research.

420

421

## References

422

423 1. Weston M. Difficulties in determining the dose-response nature of competitive  
424 soccer matches. *J Athl Enhancement* 2013;2:doi:10.4172/2324-9080.1000e107.

425 2. Mujika I. The alphabet of sport science research starts with Q. *Int J Sports*  
426 *Physiol Perform* 2013;8:465-6.

427 3. Scott BR, Lockie RG, Knight TJ, Clark AC, Janse de Jonge XA. A comparison  
428 of methods to quantify the in-season training load of professional soccer players. *Int J*  
429 *Sports Physiol Perform* 2013;8:195-202.

430 4. McLaren SJ, Graham M, Spears IR, Weston M. The sensitivity of differential  
431 ratings of perceived exertion as measures of internal load. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*  
432 2016;11:404-6.

433 5. Bush M, Barnes C, Archer DT, Hogg B, Bradley PS. Evolution of match  
434 performance parameters for various playing positions in the English Premier League.  
435 *Hum Mov Sci* 2015;39:1-11.

436 6. Barnes C, Archer DT, Hogg B, Bush M, Bradley PS. The evolution of physical  
437 and technical performance parameters in the English Premier League. *Int J Sports Med*  
438 2014;35:1095-100.

439 7. Bradley PS, Carling C, Gomez Diaz A, et al. Match performance and physical  
440 capacity of players in the top three competitive standards of English professional soccer.  
441 *Hum Mov Sci* 2013;32:808-21.

442 8. Buchheit M, Mendez-Villanueva A, Simpson BM, Bourdon PC. Match running  
443 performance and fitness in youth soccer. *Int J Sports Med* 2010;31:818-25.

444 9. Los Arcos A, Méndez-Villanueva A, Yanci J, Martínez-Santos R. Respiratory  
445 and muscular perceived exertion during official games in professional soccer players.  
446 *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2016; 11(3):301-4.

447 10. Suarez-Arrones L, Torreno N, Requena B, et al. Match-play activity profile in  
448 professional soccer players during official games and the relationship between external  
449 and internal load. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2015;55:1417-22.

- 450 11. Tessitore A, Cortis C, Meeusen R, Capranica L. Power performance of soccer  
451 referees before, during, and after official matches. *J Strength Cond Res* 2007;21:1183-7.
- 452 12. Weston M, Bird S, Helsen W, Nevill A, Castagna C. The effect of match  
453 standard and referee experience on the objective and subjective match workload of  
454 English Premier League referees. *J Sci Med Sport* 2006;9:256-62.
- 455 13. Bradley PS, Sheldon W, Wooster B, Olsen P, Boanas P, Krstrup P. High-  
456 intensity running in English FA Premier League soccer matches. *J Sports Sci*  
457 2009;27:159-68.
- 458 14. Paul DJ, Bradley PS, Nassis GP. Factors affecting match running performance  
459 of elite soccer players: shedding some light on the complexity. *Int J Sports Physiol*  
460 *Perform* 2015;10:516-9.
- 461 15. Mallo J, Navarro E, Garcia-Aranda JM, Gilis B, Helsen W. Analysis of the  
462 kinematical demands imposed on top-class assistant referees during competitive soccer  
463 matches. *J Strength Cond Res* 2008;22:235-42.
- 464 16. Barbero-Alvarez JC, Boullosa DA, Nakamura FY, Andrin G, Castagna C.  
465 Physical and physiological demands of field and assistant soccer referees during  
466 America's Cup. *J Strength Cond Res* 2012;26:1383-8.
- 467 17. Casamichana D, Castellano J. The relationship between intensity indicators in  
468 small-sided soccer games. *J Hum Kinet* 2015;46:119-28.
- 469 18. Barrett S, Midgley A, Reeves M, et al. The within-match patterns of locomotor  
470 efficiency during professional soccer match play: Implications for injury risk? *J Sci Med*  
471 *Sport* 2015; 19(10):810-5.
- 472 19. Casamichana D, Castellano J, Calleja-Gonzalez J, San Román J, Castagna C.  
473 Relationship between indicators of training load in soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res*  
474 2013;27:369-74.
- 475 20. Coutts AJ, Rampinini E, Marcora SM, Castagna C, Impellizzeri FM. Heart rate  
476 and blood lactate correlates of perceived exertion during small-sided soccer games. *J*  
477 *Sci Med Sport* 2009;12:79-84.
- 478 21. Los Arcos A, Yanci J, Mendiguchia J, Gorostiaga EM. Rating of muscular and  
479 respiratory perceived exertion in professional soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res*  
480 2014;28:3280-8.
- 481 22. Weston M, Siegler J, Bahnert A, McBrien J, Lovell R. The application of  
482 differential ratings of perceived exertion to Australian Football League matches. *J Sci*  
483 *Med Sport* 2015;18:704-8.
- 484 23. McLaren SJ, Smith A, Spears IR, Weston M. A detailed quantification of  
485 differential ratings of perceived exertion during team-sport training. *J Sci Med Sport*  
486 2016;S1440-2440(16)30116-5.
- 487 24. Castagna C, Abt G, D'Ottavio S. Physiological aspects of soccer refereeing  
488 performance and training. *Sports Med* 2007;37:625-46.
- 489 25. Weston M, Castagna C, Impellizzeri FM, Bizzini M, Williams AM, Gregson W.  
490 Science and medicine applied to soccer refereeing an update. *Sports Med* 2012;42:615-  
491 31.
- 492 26. Costa EC, Vieira CMA, Moreira A, Ugrinowitsch C, Castagna C, Aoki MS.  
493 Monitoring external and internal loads of Brazilian soccer referees during official  
494 matches. *J Sports Sci Med* 2013;12:559-64.
- 495 27. Mallo J, Navarro E, García-Aranda JM, Helsen W. Physical demands of top-  
496 class soccer assistant refereeing during high-standard matches. *Int J Sports Med*  
497 2009;30:331-6.

- 498 28. Mallo J, Navarro E, Garcia-Aranda JM, Helsen WF. Activity profile of top-class  
499 association football referees in relation to fitness-test performance and match standard. *J*  
500 *Sports Sci* 2009;27:9-17.
- 501 29. Edwards S. The heart rate monitor book. New York: Polar Electro Oy; 1993.
- 502 30. Foster C, Florhaug JA, Franklin J, et al. A new approach to monitoring exercise  
503 training. *J Strength Cond Res* 2001;15:109-15.
- 504 31. Varley MC, Fairweather IH, Aughey RJ. Validity and reliability of GPS for  
505 measuring instantaneous velocity during acceleration, deceleration, and constant  
506 motion. *J Sports Sci* 2012;30:121-7.
- 507 32. Boyd LJ, Ball K, Aughey RJ. The reliability of MinimaxX accelerometers for  
508 measuring physical activity in Australian football. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*  
509 2011;6:311-21.
- 510 33. Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, Hanin J. Progressive statistics for  
511 studies in sports medicine and exercise science. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2009;41:3-13.
- 512 34. Weston M. Match performances of soccer referees: the role of sports science.  
513 *Mov Sport Sci* 2015;87:113-7.
- 514 35. Castillo D, Yanci J, Cámara J, Weston M. The influence of soccer match play on  
515 physiological and physical performance measures in soccer referees and assistant  
516 referees. *J Sports Sci* 2016;34:557-63.
- 517 36. Krstrup P, Helsen W, Randers MB, et al. Activity profile and physical demands  
518 of football referees and assistant referees in international games. *J Sports Sci*  
519 2009;27:1167-76.
- 520 37. Catterall C, Reilly T, Atkinson G, Coldwells A. Analysis of the work rates and  
521 heart rates of association football referees. *British J Sports Med* 1993;27:193-6.
- 522 38. Gaudino P, Iaia FM, Strudwick AJ, et al. Factors influencing perception of effort  
523 (session rating of perceived exertion) during elite soccer training. *Int J Sports Physiol*  
524 *Perform* 2015;10:860-4.
- 525 39. Atkinson G, Nevill AM. Selected issues in the design and analysis of sport  
526 performance research. *J Sports Sci* 2001;19:811-27.
- 527 40. Bland JM, Altman DG. Calculating correlation coefficients with repeated  
528 observations: Part 1--Correlation within subjects. *Bmj* 1995;310:446.
- 529 41. Helsen W, Bultynck JB. Physical and perceptual-cognitive demands of top-class  
530 refereeing in association football. *J Sports Sci* 2004;22:179-89.
- 531 42. Impellizzeri FM, Rampinini E, Marcora SM. Physiological assessment of  
532 aerobic training in soccer. *J Sports Sci* 2005;23:583-92.
- 533 43. Borg E, Borg G, Larsson K, Letzter M, Sundblad BM. An index for  
534 breathlessness and leg fatigue. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2010;20:644-50.
- 535 44. Buchheit M, Laursen PB. High-intensity interval training, solutions to the  
536 programming puzzle. Part II: anaerobic energy, neuromuscular load and practical  
537 applications. *Sports Med* 2013;43:927-54.
- 538 45. Gil-Rey E, Lezaun A, Los Arcos A. Quantification of the perceived training load  
539 and its relationship with changes in physical fitness performance in junior soccer  
540 players. *J Sports Sci* 2015;33:2125-32.

541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547

### Legend of Tables and Figures

548

549

550 **Table 1** Practical difference on internal and external match load (ML) between field  
551 (FR) and assistant referees (AR).

552

553 **Table 2.** Relationships ( $r$ ;  $\pm 90\%$  CL) between and amongst internal and external match  
554 loads for field referees ( $n = 20$ )

555

556 **Table 3.** Relationships ( $r$ ;  $\pm 90\%$  CL) between and amongst internal and external match  
557 loads for assistant referees ( $n = 43$ )

558