Biomarkers for the assessment of exposure to fluoride in children
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ABSTRACT

Due to practical difficulties in quantifying fluoride exposure, the ability of various biomarkers to predict it has been investigated previously. However, the results are inadequate for validation of their application and usefulness. This study aimed to investigate the association between contemporary/recent biomarkers of fluoride exposure and total daily fluoride intake (TDFI) of children with large differences in fluoride exposure through drinking water. TDFI was assessed in 61 healthy 4 to 5 years old children who provided at least one biomarker sample; 32 lived in a low-fluoride area (0.04 mgF/L) and 29 lived in a high-fluoride area (3.05 mgF/L). Validated questionnaires were administered to evaluate fluoride intake from diets (including water) and toothpaste ingestion. Daily urinary fluoride excretion (UFE) as well as fluoride concentrations in plasma, fasting whole saliva, hair and nails (toenails/fingernails) were evaluated and related to total fluoride exposure. TDFI, UFE and fluoride concentration of biomarkers were statistically significantly higher in the high-fluoride area than in the low-fluoride area. There was a strong statistically significant positive correlation between TDFI and: UFE ($\rho=0.756$, $p<0.001$); plasma fluoride concentration ($\rho=0.770$, $p<0.001$); toenail fluoride concentration ($\rho=0.604$, $p<0.001$). The statistically significant positive correlation between TDFI and fingernail fluoride concentration ($\rho=0.470$, $p<0.001$) as well as between TDFI and fasting whole saliva fluoride concentration ($\rho=0.453$, $p=0.001$) was moderate, whereas it was weak between TDFI and hair fluoride concentration ($\rho=0.306$, $p=0.027$). In conclusion, the current study confirmed the suitability of 24h urine samples for estimating F exposure in children. The strong correlations between TDFI and F in plasma and toenails also suggest these biomarkers may be considered for health risk assessments of fluoride in children who are susceptible to development of dental fluorosis.
Introduction

Dental caries still remains the most predominant preventable health condition across the globe [GBD 2016 Risk Factors Collaborator, 2017]. Due to the well-recognised role of fluoride (F) in prevention and control of dental caries, it has been added to water, milk, salt and dental products in many countries. However, studies from both fluoridated and non-fluoridated communities have shown an increase in the prevalence of dental fluorosis over time [Harding and O’Mullane, 2013; Khan et al., 2005]. The caries-preventive effects of F are primarily topical, whereas the prevalence and severity of dental fluorosis are a reflection of chronic excessive ingestion of F during crucial periods of tooth development [Hellwig and Lennon, 2004]. Therefore, regular monitoring of systemic F exposure is crucial to address any potential adverse health effects of F.

Sources of F exposure are becoming more diverse, with diet (including water) and unintentional ingestion of dental products as the most important. Due to practical difficulties in quantifying F exposure, from all sources in individuals, F biomarkers have been suggested as alternative approaches to monitor deficient or excessive intakes of bioavailable F [World Health Organization, 1994].

Ingested F is rapidly distributed throughout the body: F in plasma can be measured within 10 minutes of ingestion and reaches a peak within 30–60 min [Buzalaf and Whitford, 2011]. Almost 60% of the absorbed F by adults and 45% by children is excreted in urine within 24 hours of ingestion. Most of the retained F in the body is bound to calcified tissues, whereas <1% is found in soft tissues [Buzalaf and Whitford, 2011]. Although the F concentrations of specialised body fluids are different from those in plasma, they change concurrently and in proportion to those in plasma [Buzalaf and Whitford, 2011].

F concentrations in plasma, saliva, milk, sweat and urine have been suggested as biological markers for assessment of present or very recent exposure to F [Buzalaf et al., 2012; Buzalaf et al., 2011; Rugg-Gunn et al., 2011]. The F content of nails and hair reflects intake over longer periods of time (recent biomarkers), whilst F in bone and teeth are regarded as historic F biomarkers [Pessan and Buzalaf, 2011].

Among the suggested F biomarkers, urine is currently regarded as the most useful biomarker of recent F exposure at a community level, which can rapidly detect any variations in F exposure [Martins et al., 2011], with established normal values for 24h urinary F excretion in children [World Health Organization, 2014].

Due to the challenges in collection of 24h urine samples, particularly in children, several studies attempted to investigate the ability of other biomarkers to predict F exposure [Boros et al., 2001; Buzalaf et al., 2012; Buzalaf et al., 2011; Czarnowski and Krechniak, 1990; Joshi and Ajithkrishnan, 2018;
Kono et al., 1993; Levy et al., 2004; Lima-Arsati et al., 2010; Linhares et al., 2016; Oliveby et al., 1989; Schamschula et al., 1985; Whitford, 2005]. However, their results are inadequate to make any proposals on the application and usefulness of plasma, nails and hair as biomarkers of F exposure. The above literature shows no robust consensus that they would be reliable indicators of F exposure in different age groups and populations.

More studies are needed to explore the best F biomarker and quantify normal F concentration of the biomarkers for populations exposed to a wide range of F, from very low water F areas to endemic F areas. This study therefore aimed to investigate the association between total F intake and contemporary/recent biological markers of exposure to F in children with large differences in F exposure through water. The objectives were to assess the concentrations of F in urine, plasma, hair and finger- and toe-nail clippings.

### Materials and Methods

North Central Nigeria, where the natural F concentration in groundwater varies considerably (Lar et al. 2014), provided a suitable study location.

The study was approved by the School of Health and Social Care Ethics Committee, Teesside University (Study number 065/15) and the Jos University Teaching Hospital Ethics Committee, JUTH, Plateau State, Nigeria (JUTH/DCS/ADM/127/XIX/6408).

Healthy children aged 4-5 years from a low-F water area (LFA; Bokkos Local Government Area (LGA)) and a high-F water area (HFA; Langtang North LGA), living in their residency area since birth, were invited to participate in this cross-sectional observational study. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of participants who volunteered to take part.

### Data and sample collection

Two visits were arranged with the participants when data and samples were collected. During Visit 1, the weight of each child was measured without shoes and jacket to the nearest 0.1 kg using a portable digital balance (Seca 803, Seca, Germany). The parents were interviewed to collect demographic information and their children’s oral hygiene habits, including the type of toothpaste used regularly (if their children brushed) and frequency of brushing per day, using a validated questionnaire [Ibiyemi et al., 2018; Levy and Zarei-M, 1991]. A validated food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) [Ibiyemi et al., 2018; Levy and Zarei-M, 1991] was also administered to estimate F intake from diet. To confirm the F concentration of each child's home water supply, a sample was collected and analysed for F. Samples
of toothpaste brands used by the children as well as the most frequently consumed food and drinks
identified from the FFQ were obtained and analysed for F content. A small sample of hair of the child
were cut as close to the scalp as possible from the back of the head. Parents were instructed to clean
their child’s hands and foot before clipping the nails from all digits. They were also asked to store
fingernails and toenails in separate zip-lock bags. Children with very short nails were instructed to let
their nails to grow for 1-2 weeks prior to clipping.

Parents were provided with containers and bottles as well as written and verbal instructions for
collecting samples of food, drinks, 24h urine and finger- and toe-nail clippings. The participants were
given an appointment for the second visit and were asked not to eat or drink anything or use
toothpaste/mouth rinses for at least 4 hours before the visit. At Visit 2, unstimulated whole saliva was
collected by asking the participants to drool into plastic vials. A 5-mL blood sample was collected from
each child by a qualified nurse. Samples of food, drinks, 24h urine and nail clippings collected by
parents and the completed FFQ were also picked up.

Sample preparation and analysis

Urine samples collected over the 24h period were pooled for each participant, and the total volume was
measured. Saliva samples were centrifuged at 1000 rpm (MSE Harrier 18/80, UK) for 2 min to separate
any food debris [Martínez-Mier et al., 2011]. Each blood sample was centrifuged for 10 min at 1500
rpm and the plasma collected. Nail samples from each participant (finger- and toe-nails, separately)
were weighed, and then surface contamination from the nail clippings was removed by sonication in
distilled water for 15 min [Whitford, 2005]. The cleaned samples were dried at 95ºC and weighed again.
Food samples were weighed and then homogenised using an industrial blender (Cookwork BL9292,
UK).

Water and urine samples were analysed directly using a F ion selective electrode (ISE, 720A series;
Orion Research Inc., Boston, MA, USA) after addition of TISAB III [Martínez-Mier et al., 2011]. Food,
toothpaste, plasma, whole saliva, hair and nail samples were analysed after overnight
hexamethyldisiloxane-acid diffusion [Martínez-Mier et al., 2011].

To examine the reliability of the F analytical methods, 10% of the samples were re-analysed. The results
confirmed no statistically significant differences in the means between test and retest for all the samples.

Data preparation

The completeness of 24h urine samples was checked by comparing urinary flow rate (mL/h) with the
World Health Organization [World Health Organization, 2014] reference ranges for <6-year-olds (5-
160 mL/h). Children with a urine flow rate outside this range were excluded from data analysis. Daily urinary F excretion (DUFEx; mg/d) was estimated by multiplying the 24h urine volume by the F concentration of the urine sample.

Each child's daily dietary F intake was estimated by multiplying the F concentration (mg/kg) of each food and drink by the corresponding amount (kg) consumed per day and then summing these values to derive a total in mg/d and on a body weight basis (mg/kg bw/d). F ingestion from toothpaste (mg/d) was estimated by multiplying the pictorially [Levy and Zarei-M, 1991] estimated weight of toothpaste used per brushing (mg) by its F concentration and frequency of use. The obtained value was multiplied by 41%; the mean % of toothpaste ingested per tooth brushing session reported among four-year-olds in Iran [Zohouri and Rugg-Gunn, 2000] and the UK [Zohoori et al., 2012]. Total daily F intake (TDFI; mg/day) was estimated from diet and ingestion from toothpaste by summing up F intake from these two sources.

TDFI and DUFEx were also calculated based on body weight (mg/kgbw/d) by dividing these values (mg/d) by body weight (kg).

Statistical analysis

Sample size: A power analysis was undertaken to estimate appropriate sample size based on previous studies [Levy et al., 2004; Schamschula et al., 1985], which showed a highly significant difference in the level of F in the biomarkers with increasing water F concentration. It was estimated that 20 children per area would be needed for 90% power and an assumed statistical significance level α of 0.05. However, 62 children were invited to allow for drop outs.

The data were analysed descriptively using SPSS version 22. Independent t-tests were used to compare means for each parameter between the LFA and HFA groups. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to examine the relationships between the various biomarkers and TDFI. The strength of the correlation (ρ) was then evaluated using the following categories [Evan, 1996]: very weak (0.00-0.19), weak (0.20-0.39), moderate (0.40-0.59), strong (0.60-0.79) and very strong (0.80-1.00).

Results

In total, 61 children provided at least one sample; 32 in LFA and 29 in HFA. There was no statistically significant difference in the age and weight of children living in the LFA (4.4y and 16.3 kg respectively) and HFA (4.4y and 15.7 kg respectively). The mean (SD) F concentration of the drinking water for the LFA and HFA was 0.04 (0.02) and 3.05 (1.10) mg/L, respectively.
Of the 61 children who participated in the study: 56 provided complete 24h urine, 55 whole saliva, 60 plasma, 52 hair, 43 fingernail and 51 toenail samples. Table 1 presents the mean (SD) of TDFI, 24h UFE and F concentrations of the biomarkers. Comparison between the two F water areas indicated no difference in F intake from toothpaste ingestion, whereas F intake from diet and TDFI was higher (p < 0.001) in HFA compared with LFA. In addition, 24h UFE and F concentrations of biomarkers were statistically significantly higher in the HFA than in LFA.

The linear relationships between the various biomarkers and TDFI are presented in Figures 1 to 4. There were strong statistically significant positive correlations between TDFI and i) 24h UFE (Figure 1: \( \rho = 0.756, p<0.001 \)); ii) plasma (Figure 2: \( \rho = 0.770, p<0.001 \)) and iii) toenails (Figure 3: \( \rho = 0.604, p<0.001 \)). The statistically significant positive correlation between TDFI and fingernails (Figure 3: \( \rho = 0.470, p<0.001 \)) as well as between TDFI and fasting whole saliva (Figure 2: \( \rho = 0.453, p=0.001 \)) was moderate, whereas it was weak between TDFI and hair (Figure 4: \( \rho = 0.306, p=0.027 \)).

Discussion

The current study quantified the amount of excreted F in 24h urine samples as well as the concentrations of F in fasting plasma, saliva and nails, and related the findings to the total F exposure in children. A clear differentiation in F concentration of biomarkers between LFA and HFA was observed in this study which confirms the validity of the methodologies employed. The results show a strong correlation between TDFI and F in 24h urine, plasma and toenails; suggesting their potential as biomarkers of exposure during recent weeks or months. The current study is unique as the correlations between F exposure and several F biomarkers were studied, simultaneously, in children exposed to a large range of systemic F from 0.075 to 0.277 mg/kg bw/d.

The current study used the Iowa Fluoride Study’s validated questionnaires [Levy and Zarei-M, 1991], which were previously exploited in Nigerian children [Ibiyemi et al., 2018], to obtain the information on dietary and oral hygiene habits for each individual child. The F exposure was then estimated from data derived from the questionnaires and laboratory F analysis of collected samples of home tap water and all regularly used food, drink and dental products.

The mean TDFI of all children in HFA (0.277 mgF/kg bw/d) was far above the suggested upper limit of F intake of 0.1 mg/kg bw/d [Burt, 1992; Zohoori, 2018] which could potentially place a child at greater risk of dental fluorosis. These results clearly show the impact of a high concentration of naturally occurring F in drinking water (3.05 mg F/L in HFA) on undesirable total F intake in children. The same trend has also been reported in a recent study [Ibiyemi et al., 2018] in Nigerian 4-year-olds: a TDFI of
0.062 and 0.385 mg/kgbw/d for those children receiving water with median F concentrations of 0.06-
0.07 ppm and 2-3 ppm, respectively.

Early studies reported parallel levels of F in water and urine in both children and adults [Zipkin et al.,
1956]. However, the current study found higher concentrations of F in urine than those in water in both
areas (Table 1). This finding confirms the diversity of F sources prevalent today, not only drinking
water but mainly diet and unintentional ingestion of dental products. Tea (with a consumption of up to
270 mL/day and F concentration of 2.78 µg/mL, as reported elsewhere [Idowu, 2018]) was the major
source of F intake for this age group of Nigerian children living in the LFA. However, a locally prepared
drink from maize (with a consumption of up to 2200 mL/day and a F concentration of 2.43 µg/mL) was
the main source of F intake for the children in the HFA.

The observed strong correlation between TDFI and 24h UFE in Nigerian children in this study (Figure
1) agrees with the same correlation reported for children younger than 7 years old, consuming a
westernised diet [Villa et al., 2010]. These findings suggest that daily F exposure in young children can
be predicted from daily urinary F excretion, regardless of the type of diet (westernised vs non-
westernised). Despite the usefulness of 24h UFE for assessment of F exposure, collection of a sample
for a full 24 hours can be quite challenging and inconvenient. Therefore, it is necessary to accurately
and reliably identify other biomarkers which can be collected more easily.

A number of recent studies, mainly conducted in adults [Bashash et al., 2018; Bashash et al., 2017;
Thomas et al., 2016] used spot urine samples to estimate F exposure and its link with several health
outcomes. Although the potential of spot urine samples to predict F exposure has been examined in
young children [Zohoori and Maguire, 2017; Zohouri et al., 2006], its appropriateness to estimate F
exposure in adults has not been examined and validate. Therefore, studies with adults are needed to
provide more robust evidence on the suitability of spot urine samples for estimation of F exposure in
this age group.

The present study found a strong significant correlation between plasma F concentration and TDFI
(Figure 2), which is consistent with a number of other human studies that attempted to relate F
concentration in plasma to F intake [Rugg-Gunn et al., 2011]. Plotting the maximum F concentration in
plasma after ingestion against the F dose, reported in 11 studies, showed a direct correlation between
them for adults [Rugg-Gunn et al., 2011].

However, no significant correlation between plasma F concentration and estimated F intake from diet
(0.004 to 0.029 mg/kgbw/d) was reported in 2-6-year-old Brazilian children living in areas with water
F concentrations of 0.1-0.8 mg/L [Levy et al., 2004]. The latter study assessed F intake from diet but
not the very likely F intake from swallowed fluoridated toothpaste. A study in which total F intake and
plasma F concentration were estimated for 15-36 months old Mexican children, who consumed fluoridated salt, reported a numerically, but not statistically, higher plasma F concentration for the children with slightly higher F intake; a plasma F concentration of 0.025 µg/mL with a F intake of 0.20 mg/kgbw/d compared to a plasma F concentration of 0.016 with mean F intake of 0.18 mg/kgbw/d [Martinez-Mier et al., 2003]. Pharmacokinetic data [Ekstrand et al., 1994] for infants given a F dose of 0.0029 to 0.037 mg/kgbw also indicated that neither the peak plasma F concentration nor the area under the plasma F concentration curve was related to F intake. The relatively narrow range of F intake taken by infants and children in these previous studies might be the reason for the lack of any correlation found. Plasma F concentration could be influenced by several factors, independent of F exposure, including site of blood collection, acid-base balance, altitude, haematocrit, age and genetic background [Buzalaf and Whitford, 2011]. Therefore, more studies in different age groups and geographical areas, using a standardised protocol (e.g. standardised time and site of blood collection), are needed to investigate the effect of those factors on plasma F concentration.

Despite the observed increase in F concentration in fasting whole saliva with increasing F intake, the correlation was moderate (Figure 2). Fluoride concentration of fasting whole saliva has been reported to be 0.01-0.05 µg/mL, which would increase after eating/drinking or brushing with F dentifrices or F topical application. Elevated F concentrations in non-fasting whole saliva have ranged from 30 minutes after ingestion of optimally fluoridated water to two weeks after topical F application [Duckworth and Morgan, 1991; Duckworth et al., 1987; Ericsson, 1969; Yao and Gron, 1970]. Studies on adults have demonstrated significantly higher F concentrations in whole saliva in subjects receiving fluoridated water (1-1.2 mg/L) than in those receiving non-fluoridated water (<0.1 mg/L) proportional to the corresponding fluoride concentrations in plasma [Oliveby et al., 1990; Yao and Gron, 1970]. In adults, Oliveby et al. (1989) also reported that F concentration in whole saliva mirrored that in plasma after ingestion of 1 mg of sodium fluoride. However, whole saliva F concentrations were reported to be unrelated to those in plasma in 5- to 10-year-old children [Whitford et al., 1999]. The latter authors concluded that whole salivary F concentration was not an appropriate marker of plasma F concentration in children due to a fairly large within-mouth pool of F, associated with oral soft tissues/bacteria and dental plaque.

A study that investigated the sensitivity of fingernails to detect F exposure from dentifrice in 1-3 years old children concluded that fingernails might not be a reliable biomarker of fluoride body burden [Lima-Arsati et al., 2010]. On the other hand, F concentration of toenails has been reported to be positively correlated with F intake in 4-12 years old children and 25-50 years old females [Linhares et al., 2016]. Pessan and Buzalaf (2011) proposed both finger- and toe-nails as potential biomarkers for monitoring acute, sub-chronic and chronic exposure to F. However, these authors preferred toenails to fingernails as a biomarker due to them being less prone to external F contamination. In the present study, a strong
correlation was found between toenail F concentration and TDFI; whereas the correlation between fingernail F concentration and TDFI was moderate. In children, toenails have been reported to grow marginally slower than fingernails; 4.4 vs 4.6 mm/month [Fukushima et al., 2009], and therefore toenail clippings may reflect a slightly longer exposure time.

In the present study, hair showed the weakest correlation with F exposure, indicating hair as a poor biomarker of F exposure. Several studies [Kono et al., 1993; Kono et al., 1990; Mandinic et al., 2010; Schamschula et al., 1988] have reported a positive correlation between F concentration of water and hair. A positive linear correlation between the F levels in hair and incidence of dental fluorosis has also been reported in 34–60-year-olds [Joshi and Ajithkrishnan, 2018] and in 12-year-old schoolchildren [Mandinic et al., 2010]. In these studies, systemic F ingestion was not measured, only the F concentration of the water. Since both nails and hair are prone to external contamination, a decontamination procedure is essential before undertaking F analysis. The suggested decontamination methods, such as using an interdental brush and sonication using an ultrasound bath, are practical for nail samples but not for hair samples. Due to methodological issues regarding decontamination of hair samples, it is a challenge to clearly distinguish incorporated F into hair during formation (endogenous) from that which becomes associated with hair following exposure to the environment (exogenous). In addition, some externally deposited trace elements tend to be incorporated into hair and may then be regarded as endogenous [Ophaug, 1994]. Therefore, systematic studies of decontamination procedures are needed to determine the optimum conditions for removing exogenous F from hair, while retaining endogenous F. The other limiting factors for utilisation of hair as a biomarker are the sampling technique and the required quantity: hair needs to be sampled as close to the scalp as possible, from a relatively large area, to obtain enough for analysis, which may not be accepted by some subjects.

Study limitations:

Two main limitations of this study are the narrow age group of children, and inclusion of only two F areas (low and high), which were mainly due to time constraints and budget restrictions. The study focused only on 4-5-year olds, due to being able to control their urination and cooperate in this type of investigation. However, this age group is still consistent with the window of susceptibility for fluorosis development in permanent anterior teeth: the first five years of life [O’Mullane et al., 2016]. Although an optimally fluoridated area was not included in the present study, the TDFI showed a wide range from 0.01 to 0.82 mg/kgbw/d (Figure 1), covering the optimal F intake range (0.05-0.07 mgF/kgbw/d) with 23% of children receiving F at optimal levels.

Since the peak plasma and bone F concentrations are directly related to both the age of the individual and fluoride intake, any extrapolation of the study findings to other age groups should be made with caution. Another limitation is that the samples and data were collected at a single time point for each
individual and consequently missed any possible within-individual variations in F concentrations of biomarkers. However, since the overall sample and data collections took 4 months, the results cover any possible within-community variations.

Although all samples were collected at the same time point for each individual child, the F concentrations in nail-clippings and hair are directly related to the average F intake and plasma F concentration during the period when the nails/hair were formed and not to the exposure that occurred during the day of sampling. Moreover, there was no intervention in the daily routine or dietary habits over the 4 months prior to data collection. In addition, due to the limited variety of consumed diet and toothpaste by the children in this study, it can be assumed that the variation in F exposure was minimal within the two subject groups.

In conclusion, assessment of F exposure is a major challenge in interventions to optimise the exposure. The current study confirmed the suitability of 24h urine samples for estimating F exposure in children. The strong correlations between TDFI and F in plasma and toenails also suggest these biomarkers may be considered as predictors of F intake in children. However, the moderate correlation found between TDFI and both fingernail and fasting whole saliva F concentration implies that the F concentrations of these biomarkers might be affected by not only F intake but also other variables. The poor correlation between F intake and hair F concentration suggests that hair may be an unreliable biomarker of F exposure due to the possible influence of other external factors (e.g. environmental contamination) on its endogenous F content.

The research on various potential health effects of F requires validation of F exposure through well-designed and well-conducted population-based studies. As such, the current study provides new information on the use of F biomarkers that could help to assess F exposure and consequently help to inform intervention and policy decision-making to minimise any potential adverse effects of excessive F exposure.
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Table 1. Mean (SD) fluoride exposure and F concentration of biomarkers, stratified by water F area

Figure 1. Relationship between total daily F intake (TDFI) and 24h urinary F excretion (24h UFE) in children (n=56).

\[24h\ UFE\ (mg/kgbw/d) = -0.010 + [0.648 \times TDFI\ (mg/kgbw/d)]; \rho=0.756; \ p<0.001\]

Figure 2. Relationship between total daily F intake (TDFI) and i) plasma (n=60, “o, -------”) and ii) saliva (n=55, “Δ, ………”) F concentration in children.

Plasma F (µg/mL) = 0.026 + [0.267 x TDFI (mg/kgbw/d)]; ρ =0.770; p<0.001
Saliva F (µg/mL) = 0.020 + [0.224 x TDFI (mg/kgbw/d)]; ρ =0.453; p=0.001

Figure 3. Relationship between total daily F intake (TDFI) and i) finger-nail (n=43, “o, ……”), and ii) toe-nail (n= 51, “x, - - -”) F concentration in children.

Fingernail F (µg/g) = 4.439 + [12.854 x TDFI (mg/kgbw/d)]; ρ =0.470; p<0.001
Toenail F (µg/g) = 3.758 + [16.703 x TDFI (mg/kgbw/d)]; ρ = 0.604; p<0.001

Figure 4. Relationship between total daily F intake (TDFI) and hair F concentration in children (n=52).

Hair F (µg/g) = 0.94 + [1.860 x TDFI (mg/kgbw/d)]; ρ =0.306; p=0.027
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### Table 1. Mean (SD) fluoride exposure and F concentration of biomarkers, stratified by water F area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>F water area</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily F intake (mg/kgbw/d) from:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toothpaste ingestion</td>
<td>0.014 (0.008)</td>
<td>0.014 (0.160)</td>
<td>0.000 (-0.007, +0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet</td>
<td>0.060 (0.038)</td>
<td>0.266 (0.183)</td>
<td>-0.205 (-0.134, -0.276)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daily F intake (TDFI)</td>
<td>0.075 (0.036)</td>
<td>0.277 (0.184)</td>
<td>-0.202 (-0.131, -0.273)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary F concentration (µg/mL)</td>
<td>0.175 (0.111)</td>
<td>5.696 (3.075)</td>
<td>-5.521 (-6.691, -4.350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h urinary F excretion (mg/kgbw/d)</td>
<td>0.004 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.210 (0.143)</td>
<td>-0.205 (-0.261, -0.150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F concentration:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva (µg/mL)</td>
<td>0.008 (0.006)</td>
<td>0.098 (0.070)</td>
<td>-0.091 (-0.116, -0.065)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma (µg/mL)</td>
<td>0.029 (0.011)</td>
<td>0.116 (0.051)</td>
<td>-0.087 (-0.106, -0.068)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair (µg/g)</td>
<td>0.743 (0.609)</td>
<td>1.831 (1.091)</td>
<td>-1.088 (-1.590, -0.586)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fingernail (µg/g)</td>
<td>3.237 (2.636)</td>
<td>10.420 (3.761)</td>
<td>-6.993 (-8.800, -5.186)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toenail (µg/g)</td>
<td>3.378 (2.197)</td>
<td>10.371 (3.907)</td>
<td>-7.182 (-9.201, -5.218)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 1.** Relationship between total daily F intake (TDFI) and 24h urinary F excretion (24h UFE) in children (n=56).

24h UFE (mg/kgbw/d) = -0.010 + [0.648 x TDFI (mg/kgbw/d)]; \( \rho = 0.756; p < 0.001 \)
Figure 2. Relationship between total daily F intake (TDFI) and i) plasma (n=60, “o, -------”) and ii) saliva (n=55, “Δ, ………”) F concentration in children.

Plasma F (µg/mL) = 0.026 + [0.267 x TDFI (mg/kgbw/d)]; ρ =0.770; p<0.001

Saliva F (µg/mL) = 0.020 + [0.224 x TDFI (mg/kgbw/d)]; ρ =0.453; p=0.001
Figure 3. Relationship between total daily F intake (TDFI) and i) finger-nail (n=43, “o, …”), and ii) toe-nail (n=51, “x, - - -”) F concentration in children.

Fingernail F (µg/g) = 4.439 + [12.854 x TDFI (mg/kgbw/d)]; $\rho = 0.470; p<0.001$

Toenail F (µg/g) = 3.758 + [16.703 x TDFI (mg/kgbw/d)]; $\rho = 0.604; p<0.001$
Figure 4. Relationship between total daily F intake (TDFI) and hair F concentration in children (n=52).

Hair F (µg/g) = 0.94 + [1.860 x TDFI (mg/kgbw/d)]; ρ =0.306; p=0.027